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#### Abstract

Let $F$ be a p-adic field. We prove irreducibility of the unitary principal series of the group $\widetilde{S p(n)}$ over $F$ using theta correspondence.


## 1 Introduction

One of the basic problems when studying the induced representations of $p$-adic reductive groups is a problem of describing the constituents of the principal series representations. Although there were some earlier papers studying this problem, we note that the unitary principal series of classical groups (more generally, the representations parabolically induced from the irreducible discrete series representations) were studied in an uniform way by Goldberg in [4]. His results were based on the study of $R$-groups. We do not extend the theory of $R$-groups from the reductive group setting to the metaplectic case, but rather rely on the theta correspondence to carry over known results from the classical groups case to the metaplectic case.

In the second section, we recall the notions of the metaplectic groups $S p(n)$, as double-coverings of symplectic groups. We recall of the full lift of an irreducible representation of one group in a dual pair (with respect to the Weil representation of the ambient metaplectic group). We recall the notation for standard parabolic subgroups, parabolic induction and Jacquet modules. In the third section we prove the irreducibility of the unitary principal series representations of $\widetilde{S p(n)}$ using induction over $n$.

The authors wish to thank Goran Muić for suggestion to study this problem.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $F$ be a non-archimedean local field of odd characteristic. Let $\widetilde{S p(n)}$ be the unique non-trivial two-fold central extension of symplectic group $S p(n, F)$, i.e., the following holds:

$$
1 \rightarrow \mu_{2} \rightarrow \widetilde{S p(n)} \rightarrow S p(n, F) \rightarrow 1
$$

where $\mu_{2}=\{ \pm 1\}$. The multiplication in $\widetilde{S p(2)}$ (which is as a set given by $\left.S p(2, F) \times \mu_{2}\right)$ is given by the Rao's cocycle ([12]).

Let $V_{0}$ be an anisotropic quadratic space over $F$ of odd dimension. For more details about the invariants of this space, we refer the reader to [9], p. 75. In each step we add a hyperbolic plane and obtain an enlarged quadratic space, a tower of quadratic spaces and a tower of corresponding orthogonal groups. In the case when $r$ hyperbolic planes are added to the anisotropic space, enlarged quadratic space will be denoted by $V_{r}$, while a corresponding orthogonal group will be denoted by $O\left(V_{r}\right)$. For us, it is enough to study the case $\operatorname{dim} V_{0}=1$.

We fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi$ of $F$ and let $\omega_{n, r}$ be the pullback of the Weil representation $\omega_{n(2 r+1), \psi}$ of the group $\operatorname{Sp}(\widetilde{n(2 r+1)})$, restricted to the dual pair $\widetilde{S p(n)} \times O\left(V_{r}\right)$.

Let $\overparen{G L(n, F)}$ be a double cover of $\overparen{G(n, F)}$, where the multiplication is given by $\left(g_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)\left(g_{2}, \epsilon_{2}\right)=\left(g_{1} g_{2}, \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}\left(\operatorname{det} g_{1}, \operatorname{det} g_{2}\right)_{F}\right)$. Here $\epsilon_{i} \in \mu_{2}, i=$ 1,2 and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{F}$ denotes the Hilbert symbol of the field $F$, and this cocycle on $G L(n, F)$ is actually a restriction of the Rao's cocyle on $S p(n, F)$ to $G L(n, F)$, if we view this group as the Siegel Levi subgroup of $S p(n, F)$ ([8], p. 235).

From now on, we fix a character $\chi_{V, \psi}$ of $G \widetilde{L(n, F)}$, which is given by $\chi_{V, \psi}(g, \epsilon)=\chi_{V}(\operatorname{det} g) \epsilon \gamma\left(\operatorname{det} g, \frac{1}{2} \psi\right)^{-1}$. Here $\gamma$ denotes the Weil invariant, while $\chi_{V}$ is a character related to the quadratic form on $O\left(V_{r}\right)([9], \mathrm{p} .37)$. We denote by $\alpha=\chi_{V, \psi}^{2}$. Observe that $\alpha$ is a quadratic character on $G L(n)$.

For an irreducible smooth representation $\pi$ of the group $\widetilde{S p(n)}, \Theta(\pi, r)$ denotes it's full lift on the $r$-th level of the orthogonal tower, i.e., the isotypic
component in the representation $\omega_{n, r}$, so that there is a surjection $\omega_{n, r} \rightarrow$ $\pi \otimes \Theta(\pi, r)$. More generally, for an irreducible representation $\pi_{1}$ of the $l-$ group $G_{1}$ and a smooth representation $\zeta$ of the group $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ (where $G_{2}$ is again an $l$-group), $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, \zeta\right)$ denotes the isotypic component of $\pi_{1}$ in $\zeta$, so that there is a $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ epimorphism $\zeta \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \Theta\left(\pi_{1}, \zeta\right)$ (observe that $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, \zeta\right)$ is a smooth representation of $G_{2}-[10]$, Chapter 2, III. 3 and III.4).

We study liftings of the genuine (i.e, which do not factor through $\mu_{2}$ ) representations of the metaplectic group in the split orthogonal tower, $\operatorname{dim} V_{0}=$ 1 , with a quadratic form $q_{0}(x)=x^{2}$, while the character $\chi_{V, \psi}$ is adjusted to form $q_{0}$.

By $\nu$ we denote a character of $G L(k, F)$ defined by $|\operatorname{det}|_{F}$. Further, for an ordered partition $s=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{j}\right)$ of some $m \leq n$, we denote by $P_{s}$ a standard parabolic subgroup of $S p(n, F)$ (consisting of block uppertriangular matrices), whose Levi factor equals $G L\left(n_{1}\right) \times G L\left(n_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times$ $G L\left(n_{j}\right) \times S p(n-|s|, F)$, where $|s|=m=\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_{i}$. Then the standard parabolic subgroup $\widetilde{P}_{s}$ of $\widetilde{S p(n)}$ is the preimage of $P_{s}$ in $\widetilde{S p(n)}$. We have the analogous notation for the Levi subgroups of the metaplectic groups, which are described in more detail in Section 2.2 of [6]. The standard parabolic subgroups (containing the upper triangular Borel subgroup) of $O(r)$ have the analogous description as the standard parabolic subgroups of $S p(n, F)$. If $\widetilde{P_{s}}$ is a standard parabolic subgroup of $\widetilde{S p(n)}$ described above, or $P_{s}$ a similar standard parabolic subgroup of $O(r)$, the normalized Jacquet module of a smooth representation $\pi$ of $\widetilde{S p(n)}$ (respectively, $O(r)$ ) with respect to $\widetilde{P}_{s}\left(\right.$ respectively, $\left.P_{s}\right)$ is denoted by $R_{\widetilde{P}_{s}}(\pi)$ (respectively, $\left.R_{P_{s}}(\pi)\right)$. We also use $R_{P_{\text {min }}}(\pi)$ to denote the Jacquet module of $\pi$ with respect to the minimal standard parabolic subgroup. We denote by $\omega_{0}$ a nontrivial character of the group $\mu_{2}$, viewed as a representation of $\widetilde{S p(0)}$.

The following fact, which follows directly from [6], we use frequently while determining composition series of induced representations: for an irreducible genuine representation $\pi$ of $\widetilde{G(k, F)}$ and an irreducible genuine representation $\sigma$ of $\widetilde{S p(n)}$ we have (in the appropriate Grothendieck group)

$$
\pi \rtimes \sigma=\widetilde{\pi} \alpha \rtimes \sigma
$$

where $\pi \rtimes \sigma$ denotes the representation of the group $\widetilde{S p(n+k)}$ parabolically induced from the representation $\pi \otimes \sigma$ of the maximal Levi subgroup $\widetilde{M}_{(k)}$. We follow here the usual notation for parabolic induction for classical groups,
adopted to the metaplectic case ([14],[6]). We also freely use Zelevinsky's notation for the parabolic induction for general linear groups ([15]). We note that every irreducible genuine representation of $\widetilde{S p(n)}$ can be embedded in the representation parabolically induced from the genuine cuspidal representations of some Levi subgroup (Proposition 4.4 of [6]).

## 3 Irreducibility of the unitary principal series

Let $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}$ denote the unitary characters of $F^{\times}$. We prove irreducibility of the representation $\Pi:=\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}$, using the theta correspondence. Our theorem does not involve any assumptions about the unitary characters $\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}$ or about the residual characteristic of the field $F$.

Theorem 3.1. The representation $\Pi$ is irreducible. There is an epimorphism

$$
\Theta(\Pi, n) \rightarrow \zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1 .
$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the Theorem 3.1. The proof is by induction over $n$. The theorem for $n=1$ in known (cf. [9], p. 89, [7]), while the case $n=2$ is Proposition 3.5 of [5].

Suppose that theorem holds for all $k \leq n-1$. We prove that theorem holds for $k=n$. We have divided the proof into a sequence of propositions and lemmas.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\pi_{1}$ be an irreducible subrepresentation of $\Pi$. Then $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, n\right)$ is different from zero.

Proof. (of Proposition 3.2) According to the stabile range condition (cf. [9], p. 48), $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, 2 n\right) \neq 0$. By [9], Theorem 7.1, every non-zero irreducible quotient $\tau$ of $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, 2 n\right)$ has a cuspidal support, which we denote by $[\tau]$, equal to $\left[\nu^{\frac{m}{2}-n-\frac{1}{2}}, \nu^{\frac{m}{2}-n-2}, \ldots, \nu^{\frac{m_{0}}{2}-n}, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n} ; 1\right]$, where $m=\operatorname{dim} V_{2 n}$, which implies $[\tau]=\left[\nu^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \ldots, \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n} ; 1\right]$. If we denote by $R_{P_{\text {min }}}$ Jacquet module with respect to the minimal parabolic subgroup, then either $R_{P_{\text {min }}}(\tau) \geq \nu^{s_{j_{1}}} \otimes$ $\zeta_{i_{0}}^{\epsilon_{i 0}} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$ or $R_{P_{\text {min }}} \geq \zeta_{i_{0}}^{\epsilon_{i_{0}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$ holds, where $s_{j_{1}} \in\left\{ \pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \pm\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}$ and $\epsilon_{i_{0}} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. If we suppose that the first factor is unitary, Lemma 2.6 from [2] gives

$$
0 \neq \operatorname{Hom}\left(R_{P_{\min }}(\tau), \zeta_{i_{0}}^{\epsilon_{0}} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(\tau, \zeta_{i_{0}}^{\epsilon_{0}} \times \cdots \rtimes 1\right)
$$

so $\tau$ is a subrepresentation of $\zeta_{i_{0}}^{\epsilon_{0}} \times \cdots \rtimes 1$. Let $\nu^{s_{j_{2}}}$ denote the first nonunitary factor in $\zeta_{i_{0}}^{\epsilon_{i}} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$. Since $\nu^{s_{j}} \times \zeta_{j}^{\epsilon_{j}}$ is irreducible for every $j$ and $\epsilon_{j}$, it follows that $\tau$ is also a subrepresentation of $\nu^{s_{j}} \times \zeta_{i_{0}}^{\epsilon_{i 0}} \times \cdots \rtimes 1$. This gives an irreducible subquotient $\tau^{\prime}$ of $\zeta_{i_{0}}^{\epsilon_{i}} \times \cdots \rtimes 1$ such that $\tau$ is a subrepresentation of $\nu^{s_{j_{2}}} \rtimes \tau^{\prime}$, which implies $R_{P_{1}}(\tau)\left(\nu^{s_{j_{2}}}\right) \neq 0$ and gives an epimorphism $R_{P_{1}}(\tau) \rightarrow \nu^{s_{j_{2}}} \otimes \tau^{\prime}$, where $R_{P_{1}}(\tau)\left(\nu^{s_{j_{2}}}\right)$ is the isotypic component of $R_{P_{1}}(\tau)$ along the generalized character $\nu^{s_{j_{2}}}$. This gives an epimorphism $\omega_{n, 2 n} \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \Theta\left(\pi_{1}, 2 n\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \tau$, which directly yield to the epimorphisms $R_{P_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, 2 n}\right) \rightarrow \pi \otimes R_{P_{1}}\left(\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, 2 n\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \nu^{s_{j}} \otimes \tau^{\prime}$.

According to [9] (we use notation from Proposition 3.3 of [7]), the Jacquet module $R_{P_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, 2 n}\right)$ has the following filtration of the length 2 :
$I_{10}=\nu^{-\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \otimes \omega_{n, 2 n-1}$ (a quotient),
$I_{11}=\operatorname{Ind}_{G L(1, F) \times \widetilde{P}_{1} \times O\left(V_{2 n-1}\right)}^{M_{1} \times \widetilde{S p(n)}}\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \omega_{n-1,2 n-1}\right)$ (a subrepresentation).
Here $\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ is a twist of the usual $G L(1, F) \times G L(1, F)$ representation on the Schwartz space $C_{c}^{\infty}(G L(1, F))$, as explained in ([9],[7]). Let us denote by $T$ an epimorphism $R_{P_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, 2 n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \nu^{s_{j}} \otimes \tau^{\prime}$. Suppose $\left.T\right|_{I_{11}} \neq 0$. Using the second Frobenius isomorphism, we obtain non-zero $G L(1, F)$-homomorphism $\chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \nu^{s_{j_{2}}}$. Since the isotypic component of $\Theta\left(\nu^{s_{j_{2}}}, \chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ equals $\chi_{V, \psi} \nu^{-s_{j_{2}}}$, we have a non-zero homomorphism $\nu^{s_{j_{2}}} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \nu^{-s_{j_{2}}} \otimes \omega_{n-1,2 n-1} \rightarrow$ $\nu^{s_{j_{2}}} \otimes \widetilde{R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}}\left(\widetilde{\pi_{1}}\right) \otimes \tau^{\prime}$. Immediately follows that the isotypic component
 tion that $\pi_{1}$ is the subrepresentation of $\Pi$. Obviously, this means $\left.T\right|_{I_{11}}=0$ so we can take $T: I_{10} \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \nu^{s_{j_{2}}} \otimes \tau^{\prime}$ i.e., there is a homomorphism of $G L(1, F) \times O\left(V_{2 n-1}\right) \times \widetilde{S p(n)}$-modules $\nu^{-\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \otimes \omega_{n, 2 n-1} \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \nu^{s_{j_{2}}} \otimes \tau^{\prime}$. Directly follows that there is a non-zero homomorphism $\omega_{n, 2 n-1} \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \tau^{\prime}$ which implies $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, 2 n-1\right) \neq 0$.

Now we proceed by induction, in an analogous manner.
Suppose that we have proved that $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, 2 n-i\right) \neq 0$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, k, k \leq$ $n-1$. Now we prove $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, 2 n-(k+1)\right) \neq 0$. Let $\tau_{1}$ be an irreducible quotient of $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, 2 n-k\right)$. In a similar way as before, we can conclude that there are the epimorphisms $\omega_{n, 2 n-k} \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \Theta\left(\pi_{1}, 2 n-k\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \tau_{1}$, the cuspidal support of $\tau_{1}$ equals $\left[\nu^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \ldots, \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n} ; 1\right]$ and there is $s_{2} \in\left\{ \pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \pm(n-\right.$ $\left.\left.k-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}$ such that $\nu^{s_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$ is a subquotient of $R_{P_{\text {min }}}\left(\tau_{1}\right)$. So, there is an irreducible representation $\tau^{\prime \prime}$ of $O\left(V_{2 n-k-1}\right)$ such that $\tau_{1}$ is a subrepresentation of $\nu^{s_{2}} \rtimes \tau^{\prime \prime}$, i.e., such that there is an epimorphism $R_{P_{1}}\left(\tau_{1}\right) \rightarrow \nu^{s_{2}} \otimes \tau^{\prime \prime}$. This also gives a non-zero epimorphism $T: R_{P_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, 2 n-k}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \nu^{s_{2}} \otimes \tau^{\prime \prime}$. From
the following filtration of the Jacquet module $R_{P_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, 2 n-k}\right)$ :
$I_{10}=\nu^{-\left(n-k-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \otimes \omega_{n, 2 n-k-1}$ (a quotient),
$I_{11}=\operatorname{Ind}_{G L(1, F) \times \widetilde{P}_{1} \times O\left(V_{2 n-k-1}\right)}^{M_{1} \times \widetilde{p_{p(n}}}\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \omega_{n-1,2 n-k-1}\right)$ (a subrepresentation),
in the same fashion as before we obtain $\left.T\right|_{I_{11}}=0$. So, we may consider $T$ : $\nu^{-\left(n-k-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \otimes \omega_{n, 2 n-k-1} \rightarrow \pi_{1} \otimes \nu^{s_{2}} \otimes \tau^{\prime \prime}$ that implies $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, 2 n-(k+1)\right) \neq 0$. This gives $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, n\right) \neq 0$, and this is precisely the assertion of the proposition.

Previous proposition implies that the cuspidal support of each irreducible quotient of $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, n\right)$ equals $\left[\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n} ; 1\right]$. Observe that the representation $\zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1$ of the group $O\left(V_{n}\right)$ is irreducible (because its restriction to the group $S O\left(V_{n}\right)$ is irreducible), so each irreducible subquotient of $\Theta\left(\pi_{1}, n\right)$ equals $\zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1$.
Remark. We may conclude that all subrepresentations $\pi_{1}$ of $\Pi$ appear as quotients of the representation $\Theta\left(\zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1, n\right)$. If we suppose that the residual characteristic of $F$ is different from 2, the Howe duality conjecture implies that all the subrepresentations of $\Pi$ are mutually isomorphic, so $\Pi \cong$ $\pi_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_{1}$. Now the uniqueness of the Whittaker model for the principal series of $\widetilde{S p(n)}\left([1]\right.$ and [13]) gives $\Pi \cong \pi_{1}$ and $\Pi$ is obviously irreducible.

Now we continue with the proof of Theorem 3.1 without assumptions on residual characteristic.

Proposition 3.3. Let $J_{11}$ denote the subrepresentation of $R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right)$ in Kudla's filtration. Then the following holds:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}, R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right)\right)= \\
\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. $R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right)$ has the following filtration:
$J_{10}=\chi_{V, \psi} \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \omega_{n-1, n}$ (a quotient),
$J_{11}=\operatorname{Ind}_{\widetilde{M_{1} \times(1, F) \times V_{1} \times S \overline{P(n-1)}}}\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \omega_{n-1, n-1}\right)$ (a subrepresentation).
Let $T$ denote the mapping $T: \operatorname{Hom}_{\widetilde{M}_{1}}\left(R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right), \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times\right.$ $\left.\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\widetilde{M}_{1}}\left(J_{11}, \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}\right)$ given by restriction. We claim that $T$ is an isomorphism of the vector spaces.

To prove that $T$ is an injection, we choose $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\widetilde{M}_{1}}\left(R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right), \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes\right.$ $\left.\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}\right)$ such that $T(f)=\left.f\right|_{J_{11}}=0$. So, we may consider
$f: J_{10} \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}$. Since $J_{10}=\chi_{V, \psi} \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \omega_{n-1, n}$ and, if we assume that $f \neq 0$, we have $\operatorname{Im} f \cong \chi_{V_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1}} \otimes W$, where $W \hookrightarrow$ $\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}$ is a non-zero smooth $G \widehat{L(n-1)} \times O\left(V_{n}\right)$-module ([10], Chapter 2, III.3). We obtain a contradiction, so we get $f=0$.

Now we prove that $T$ is a surjection. Let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(J_{11}, \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times\right.$ $\left.\cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}\right)$. We have the following sequence of $\widetilde{M}_{1}$-invariant spaces:

$$
0 \subseteq U \subseteq J_{11} \subseteq R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right)
$$

where $U$ denotes the subspace such that $J_{11} / U$ is isomorphic $\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times$ $\cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes \Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}\right)$ as $\widetilde{M}_{1} \times O\left(V_{n}\right)$-modules.

Clearly, $\left(R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right) / U\right) /\left(J_{11} / U\right) \cong R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right) / J_{11} \cong J_{10}$. Observe that $J_{11} / U$ and $J_{10}$ have different central characters (as $\widetilde{M}_{1}$-modules). So, we obtain the following short exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow J_{11} / U \longrightarrow R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right) / U \longrightarrow J_{10} \longrightarrow 0
$$

and, using the standard arguments, we get that $R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right) / U$ is $\widetilde{G L(1)}$-finite.
Now it can be easily seen that $R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right) / U$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of two submodules with the central characters equal to $\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1}$ and $\chi_{V, \psi} \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since these submodules are also $\widetilde{M}_{1}$-invariant, we obtain $R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right) / U \cong$ $J_{10} \oplus J_{11} / U$. Since $f$ factors through $U$, we can consider $f$ as an operator on $J_{11} / U$. Now it is obvious that $T$ is a surjection.

Using the relations between isotypic components and spaces of homomorphisms ([11], Lemma 1.1) we get
$\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right)\right)^{\cong} \Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right){ }^{2}$, if we proved that the representation $\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)$ is admissible. This claim follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. The following holds:

$$
\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)=\zeta_{1} \times \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1
$$

Proof. Observe that there exist epimorphisms $\chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \zeta_{1}^{-1}$ and, by the inductive assumption, $\omega_{n-1, n-1} \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes$ 1. Further, the inductive assumption of Theorem 3.1 implies irreducibility
of the representation $\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}$ and gives an epimorphism $\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}, n-1\right) \rightarrow \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1$. By inducing, we obtain an epimorphism $J_{11} \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes \zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1$, which gives the epimorphism $\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right) \rightarrow \zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1$.

We prove that there is also an epimorphism $\zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1 \rightarrow \Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes\right.$ $\left.\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}\right)$. We use the second Frobenius isomorphism:
$\operatorname{Hom}_{\widetilde{M}_{1} \times O\left(V_{n-1}\right)}\left(J_{11}, \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes \Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)\right) \cong$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\widetilde{M}_{1} \times M_{1}}\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \omega_{n-1, n-1}, \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes R_{\bar{P}_{1}}\left(\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)\right)$.

Generally, for some reductive group $G^{\prime}$ (and for metaplectic groups), it's parabolic subgroup $P^{\prime}$ with the Levi subgroup $M^{\prime}$ and opposite parabolic subgroup $\overline{P^{\prime}}$, the second Frobenius isomorphism gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{G^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{M^{\prime}}^{G^{\prime}}(\pi), \Pi\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{M^{\prime}}\left(\pi, R_{\overline{P^{\prime}}}(\Pi)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some smooth representation $\pi$ (resp., $\Pi$ ) of the group $M^{\prime}$ (resp., $G^{\prime}$ ). We denote the space of the representation $\pi$ by $V_{\pi}$.

Above isomorphism can be explicitly described in the following way: Let $\Psi$ denote the embedding

$$
\Psi: V_{\pi} \hookrightarrow R_{\overline{P^{\prime}}}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{M^{\prime}}\left(V_{\pi}\right)\right)
$$

which corresponds to the open cell $P^{\prime} \overline{P^{\prime}}$ in $G^{\prime}([3])$. Now, for some $T \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{G^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{M^{\prime}}^{G^{\prime}}(\pi), \Pi\right)$, compose $\Psi$ with the corresponding mapping $T_{\overline{P^{\prime}}}: R_{\overline{P^{\prime}}}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{M^{\prime}}^{G^{\prime}}(\pi)\right) \rightarrow$ $R_{\overline{P^{\prime}}}(\Pi)$.

From now on, for every $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\widetilde{M}_{1} \times O\left(V_{n-1}\right)}\left(J_{11}, \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes\right.$ $\left.\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)\right)$, an element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\widetilde{M}_{1} \times M_{1}}\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \omega_{n-1, n-1}, \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes\right.$ $\left.\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes R_{\bar{P}_{1}}\left(\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)\right)\right)$ obtained in the way described above is denoted by $f_{0}$.

Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\widetilde{M}_{1} \times O\left(V_{n-1}\right)}\left(J_{11}, \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes \Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \cdots \rtimes\right.\right.$ $\left.\omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)$ ) denote the natural epimorphism. Clearly, $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{0} \subseteq \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times$ $\left.\cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes R_{\bar{P}_{1}}\left(\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)\right)\right)$. But, since $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{0}$ is an $\widetilde{M}_{1} \times M_{1^{-}}$ invariant subspace of the above representation given as a tensor product, where the representation of $\widetilde{M}_{1}$ is irreducible, follows that $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{0}=\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes$ $\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes V^{\prime}$, where $V^{\prime}$ is $M_{1}$-invariant subspace of $\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes\right.$ $\left.\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)$. We can determine $V^{\prime}$, since there must be a non-zero mapping $\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1}, \chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes \Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes\right.$ $\left.\omega_{0}, n-1\right) \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes V^{\prime}$. However, since both of these
isotypic components are irreducible, we obtain $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{0}=\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes$ $\omega_{0} \otimes \zeta_{1}^{-1} \otimes \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1$.

The mapping $\varphi_{0}$ can be written as $\varphi_{0}=\varphi^{\prime \prime} \circ \varphi^{\prime}$, where $\varphi^{\prime}$ denotes the canonical epimorphism $\chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \omega_{n-1, n-1} \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \zeta_{1}^{-1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes$ $\omega_{0} \otimes \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1$, while $\varphi^{\prime \prime}$ is the inclusion of the preceding representation in $\left.\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes R_{\bar{P}_{1}}\left(\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)\right)\right)$. Now we are able to construct the mapping $\operatorname{Ind}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right): J_{11} \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes$ $\omega_{0} \otimes \zeta_{1}^{-1} \times \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1$. Applying the second Frobenius isomorphism to $\varphi^{\prime \prime}$, we obtain $\varphi_{1} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\widetilde{M}_{1} \times P_{1}}^{\widetilde{M}_{1} \times O\left(V_{n}\right)}\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes \zeta_{1}^{-1} \times \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times\right.$ $\left.\zeta_{n} \rtimes 1, \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes \Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)\right)$ such that $\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{0}=\varphi^{\prime \prime}$.

We claim that $\left(\varphi_{1} \circ \operatorname{Ind}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)\right)_{0}$ equals $\varphi_{0}$. To prove this, it is enough to prove $\left(\varphi_{1} \circ \operatorname{Ind}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)\right)_{0}=\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{0} \circ \varphi^{\prime}$, which can easily obtained from (1) (the details are left to the reader). This forces $\varphi_{1} \circ \operatorname{Ind}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)=\varphi$.

So, the image of $\varphi$ is a quotient of $\operatorname{Ind}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)\left(J_{11}\right)=\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes$ $\omega_{0} \otimes \zeta_{1}^{-1} \times \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1$ (we are using the fact $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{0} \cong \operatorname{Im} \varphi^{\prime}$ here). But, since $\operatorname{Im} \varphi=\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes \Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)$, this implies that there is an epimorphism $\zeta_{1}^{-1} \times \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1 \cong \zeta_{1} \times \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1 \rightarrow$ $\Theta\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \cdots \rtimes \omega_{0}, J_{11}\right)$. This ends the proof of this proposition.

For the proof of the Theorem 3.1 we need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5. There is an epimorphism

$$
\Theta\left(\zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1, n\right) \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0} .
$$

Proof. There is an isomorphism of the vector spaces (moreover, of $\widetilde{S p(n)-}$ modules)
$\operatorname{Hom}_{O\left(V_{n}\right)}\left(\omega_{n, n}, \zeta_{1}^{-1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{M_{1}}\left(R_{P_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right), \zeta_{1}^{-1} \otimes \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1\right)$.
From this isomorphism we obtain $\Theta\left(\zeta_{1}^{-1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1, n\right) \cong \Theta\left(\zeta_{1}^{-1} \otimes\right.$ $\left.\zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1, R_{P_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right)\right)$. As in the Proposition 3.3, we can prove $\Theta\left(\zeta_{1}^{-1} \otimes \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1, R_{P_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right)\right)^{\sim} \cong \Theta\left(\zeta_{1}^{-1} \otimes \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1, I_{11}\right)^{\sim}$, where $I_{11}$ is a subrepresentation in Kudla's filtration of $R_{P_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right)$ ( $I_{11}=$ $\left.\operatorname{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_{1} \times G L(1) \times O\left(V_{n-1}\right)}^{M_{1} \times \widetilde{S p(n)}}\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \omega_{n-1, n-1}\right)\right)$.

Using the inductive assumption of the Theorem 3.1, we obtain the epimorphisms $\chi_{V, \psi} \Sigma_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \zeta_{1}^{-1}$ and $\omega_{n-1, n-1} \rightarrow \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1 \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times$ $\cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}$, which give the epimorphism $I_{11} \rightarrow \zeta_{1}^{-1} \otimes \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1 \otimes$ $\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}$. Clearly, this gives us the epimorphism $\Theta\left(\zeta_{1}^{-1} \otimes \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1, I_{11}\right) \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}$ and finally the desired epimorphism $\Theta\left(\zeta_{1} \times \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1, n\right) \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times$ $\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}$.

Now we are able to finish the proof of the Theorem 3.1.
Suppose that the representation $\Pi$ reduces and let $\Pi=\pi_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_{k}$, $k \geq 2$. Then we also have $R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}(\Pi)=R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\pi_{k}\right)$. According to the previous lemma, there is a surjection $\omega_{n, n} \rightarrow \zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1 \otimes$ $\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}$ which gives a surjection $R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right) \rightarrow \zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times$ $\zeta_{n} \rtimes 1 \otimes\left(R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\pi_{k}\right)\right)$. For each $\pi_{i} \hookrightarrow \Pi$ there is an epimorphism $R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\pi_{i}\right) \rightarrow \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}$. This gives us an epimorphism $R_{\widetilde{P}_{1}}\left(\omega_{n, n}\right) \rightarrow \zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1 \otimes\left(\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0} \oplus \cdots \oplus\right.$ $\left.\chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0}\right) \cong \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{1} \otimes \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{2} \times \cdots \times \chi_{V, \psi} \zeta_{n} \rtimes \omega_{0} \otimes\left(\zeta_{1} \times\right.$ $\cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \zeta_{1} \times \cdots \times \zeta_{n} \rtimes 1$ ), where the second factors have $k$ summands each. Because of $k \geq 2$, we get a contradiction with the Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, which proves Theorem 3.1.
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