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Abstract
Let $F_{k}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n}^{k} x^{n}$. Using the interpretation of Fibonacci num-
bers in the terms of Morse codes, we give a bijective proof of Riordan's formula

$$
\left(1-L_{k} x+(-1)^{k} x^{2}\right) F_{k}(x)=1+k x \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k / 2\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j} a_{k j} F_{k-2 j}\left((-1)^{j} x\right)
$$

where $L_{k}=F_{k}+F_{k-2}$, and $a_{k j}$ is defined by means of

$$
\left(1-x-x^{2}\right)^{-j}=\sum_{k=2 j}^{\infty} a_{k j} x^{k-2 j}
$$

The Fibonacci numbers $F_{n}$ may be defined by $F_{0}=1, F_{1}=1, F_{n}=$ $F_{n-1}+F_{n-2}, n \geq 2$. Their generating function is

$$
F_{1}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n} x^{n}=\left(1-x-x^{2}\right)^{-1}
$$

More generally we may put

$$
F_{k}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n}^{k} x^{n}
$$

Riordan [2] has proved that $F_{k}(x)$ satisfies the following recurrence relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-L_{k} x+(-1)^{k} x^{2}\right) F_{k}(x)=1+k x \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k / 2\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j} a_{k j} F_{k-2 j}\left((-1)^{j} x\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k \geq 1$, where $L_{k}=F_{k}+F_{k-2}$ are Lucas numbers, and $a_{k j}$ is defined by means of

$$
\left(1-x-x^{2}\right)^{-j}=\sum_{k=2 j}^{\infty} a_{k j} x^{k-2 j}
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
a_{k j}=\frac{j}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor k / 2\rfloor} b_{m k}\binom{m}{j},
$$

where $b_{m k}=\frac{k}{m}\binom{k-m-1}{m-1}$. Therefore, relation (1) is an immediate consequence of the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}^{k}=L_{k} F_{n-1}^{k}-(-1)^{k} F_{n-2}^{k}+\delta_{n, 0}+\sum_{m, j \geq 1} b_{m k}\binom{m}{j}(-1)^{j n} F_{n-1}^{k-2 j}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{n, 0}=1$ if $n=0$, and $\delta_{n, 0}=0$ if $n>0$.
The purpose of the present paper is to give a bijective proof of relation (2).

Our starting point is the proof due to Werman and Zeilberger [3] of Cassini's identity:

$$
F_{n}^{2}-F_{n-1} F_{n+1}=(-1)^{n} .
$$

Their proof is based on the fact that $F_{n}=|A(n)|$, where $A(n)=$ $\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right): r \geq 0, a_{i}=1\right.$ or $\left.2, a_{1}+\cdots a_{r}=n\right\}$. They defined the bijection $\pi_{n}: A(n) \times A(n) \backslash(e, e) \rightarrow A(n-1) \times A(n+1) \backslash(e, e)$, where $e=(2, \ldots, 2)$, as follows. Let $\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right),\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right)\right) \in A(n) \times A(n)$ and look for the first 1 in $a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}, \ldots$. If the first 1 is an $a_{k}$, then delete $a_{k}=1$ from the first vector and insert it between $b_{k-1}$ and $b_{k}$ in the second vector. If the first 1 is a $b_{k}$, then exchange $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$.

An equivalent interpretation of Fibonacci numbers is that $F_{n}$ is the number of Morse code sequences of length $n$, where each dot contributes 1 to the length and each dash contributes 2 (see [1, p. 302]). There is a similar interpretation of Lucas numbers. Namely, $L_{n}$ is the number of cyclic Morse codes of length $n$, i. e. we allow a dash connecting the last and the first positions. Equivalently, $L_{n}=|B(n)|$, where $B(n)=\left\{\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right)\right.$ : $r \geq 0, b_{i}=1$ or $2, b_{1}+\cdots b_{r}=n$ or $\left.n-2\right\}$.

Relation (2) suggest that the numbers $F_{n}^{k}$ and $L_{k} F_{n-1}^{k}$ are close to each other (the same follows also from Binet's formula). Let

$$
M_{n}^{(k)}=\underbrace{A(n) \times \cdots \times A(n)}_{k \text { times }}, \quad N_{n}^{(k)}=\underbrace{A(n-1) \times \cdots \times A(n-1)}_{k \text { times }} \times B(k) .
$$

Since $\left|M_{n}^{(k)}\right|=F_{n}^{k},\left|N_{n}^{(k)}\right|=L_{k} F_{n-1}^{k}$, we will try to construct a function $m_{n}^{k}: N_{n}^{(k)} \rightarrow M_{n}^{(k)}$ which would be an "almost" bijection.

Denote by $\rho_{n}$ the inverse of $\pi_{n}$. Let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}, B\right) \in N_{n}^{(k)}, A_{i}=$ $\left(a_{1}^{i}, \ldots, a_{r_{i}}^{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, k, B=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right)$.

Let $c_{j}=b_{1}+\cdots+b_{j}+\varepsilon, j=1, \ldots, s$, where $\varepsilon=0$ if $b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}=k$, and $\varepsilon=1$ if $b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}=k-2$. Then we define the function $m_{n}^{k}$ by

$$
m_{n}^{k}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}, B\right)=\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right)
$$

such that if $b_{j}=1$ then $D_{c_{j}}=\left(a_{1}^{c_{j}}, a_{2}^{c_{j}}, \ldots, a_{r_{c_{j}}}^{c_{j}}, 1\right)$, if $b_{j}=2$ then $\left(D_{c_{j}-1}, D_{c_{j}}\right)=\rho_{n}\left(A_{c_{j}-1},\left(a_{1}^{c_{j}}, \ldots, a_{r_{c_{j}}}^{c_{j}}, 2\right)\right)$, and if $\varepsilon=1$ then $\left(D_{k}, D_{1}\right)=$ $\rho_{n}\left(A_{k},\left(a_{1}^{1}, a_{2}^{1}, \ldots, a_{r_{1}}^{1}, 2\right)\right)$.

Using the Morse code interpretation, we illustrate this function by an example:

| $--\cdot$ | $--\cdot$ | $--\cdot$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\cdot-$ | $\cdot-\cdot$ | $\cdots-\cdot$ |  |
| $\cdots \cdot \mid$ | $\mapsto$ | $\cdots \cdot-$ | $\mapsto$ |
| $-\cdots$ | $-\cdots$ | -- |  |
| $--\mid$ | --- | $-\cdot-$ |  |

The function $m_{n}^{k}$ is "almost" bijection, but in general it is not nor injection nor surjection, and moreover it is not defined on entire set $N_{n}^{(k)}$. Let us consider this three problem more precisely.

## 1) Domain of $m_{n}^{k}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}\left(\rho_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{D}\left(m_{n}^{k}\right)$ denote the domains of the functions $\rho_{n}$ and $m_{n}^{k}$.
If $n$ is even, then $\mathcal{D}\left(\rho_{n}\right)=A(n-1) \times A(n+1)$ and consequently $\mathcal{D}\left(m_{n}^{k}\right)=$ $N_{n}^{(k)}$.

If $n$ is odd, then $\mathcal{D}\left(\rho_{n}\right)=A(n-1) \times A(n+1) \backslash\{(e, e)\}$. Thus $m_{n}^{k}$ is not defined on configurations which contain a subconfiguration of the form

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
--- & \cdots & --- \\
--- & & ---1
\end{array}
$$

Let $B_{m k}$ denotes the number of cyclic Morse codes of the length $k$ with $m$ dashes. Then by the principle of inclusion-exclusion we obtain:

$$
\left|N_{n}^{(k)} \backslash \mathcal{D}\left(m_{n}^{k}\right)\right|=\sum_{m \geq 1} b_{m k}\left(m \cdot F_{n-1}^{k-2}-\binom{m}{2} \cdot F_{n-1}^{k-4}+\binom{m}{4} \cdot F_{n-1}^{k-6}-\cdots\right) .
$$

Furthermore,

$$
b_{m k}=\binom{k-m}{m}+\binom{k-1-m}{m-1}=\frac{k}{m}\binom{k-m-1}{m-1} .
$$

## 2) Injection.

Let $x=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}, B\right), x^{\prime}=\left(A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{k}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \in N_{n}^{(k)}, x \neq x^{\prime}$, and $m_{n}^{k}(x)=m_{n}^{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right)$.

Assume that $B \neq B^{\prime}$ and $B \neq(2, \ldots, 2)$. Then there exist indices $i, j$ such that $b_{i}=1, b_{j}^{\prime}=2$ and $c_{i}=c_{j}^{\prime}-1$. Now we have: $b_{i+1}=2$, $c_{i+1}=2, b_{i+2}=2, c_{i+2}=2, \ldots$ (the addition in indices is modulo $k$ ) which leads to a contradiction ( $k$ would be simultaneously even and odd). Since $\rho_{n}$ is an injection we conclude that if $x \neq x^{\prime}$ and $m_{n}^{k}(x)=m_{n}^{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, then $B=(2, \ldots, 2), b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}=k, B^{\prime}=(2, \ldots, 2), b_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+b_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}=k-2$, and $D_{i}=\left(d_{1}^{i}, \ldots, d_{t_{i-1}}^{i}, 2\right), i=1, \ldots, k$.

This, first of all, implies that $k$ is even. Thus, if $k$ is odd then $m_{n}^{k}$ is an injection. Let $k$ be even. Since $\rho_{n}$ is an injection, we have $\left|\left(m_{n}^{k}\right)^{-1}(y)\right| \leq 2$, for all $y \in M_{n}^{(k)}$. Furthermore, $\left|\left\{y:\left|\left(m_{n}^{k}\right)^{-1}(y)\right|=2\right\}\right|=F_{n-2}^{k}-Z_{n k}$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{n k}=\mid\left\{y=\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right) \in M_{n}^{(k)}: D_{i}=\left(d_{1}^{i}, \ldots, d_{t_{i-1}}^{i}, 2\right),\right. \\
\left.i=1, \ldots k, y \notin m_{n}^{k}\left(N_{n}^{(k)}\right)\right\} \mid . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3) Surjection.

First of all, observe that if $k$ is odd then $y \notin m_{n}^{k}\left(N_{n}^{(k)}\right)$ for all $y$ of the form $\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right), D_{i}=\left(d_{1}^{i}, \ldots, d_{t_{i-1}}^{i}, 2\right), i=1, \ldots, k$, and the number of such $y$ 's is $F_{n-2}^{k}$.

Besides of this, observe that $\rho_{n}(A(n-1) \times A(n+1))=A(n) \times A(n) \backslash$ $\{(e, e)\}$ if $n$ is even. Thus, if $n$ is even then we have some more elements in $M_{n}^{(k)}$ which are not in $m_{n}^{k}\left(N_{n}^{(k)}\right)$. The number of such elements is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m \geq 1} b_{m k}\left(m \cdot F_{n-1}^{k-2}+\binom{m}{2} \cdot F_{n-1}^{k-4}+\binom{m}{4} \cdot F_{n-1}^{k-6}+\cdots\right)-Z_{n k}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{n k}$ is defined by (3). Indeed, given a cyclic Morse code of the length $k$ with $m$ dashes, choose $j$ dashes and put Morse code consisting of $\frac{n}{2}$ dashes in corresponding $2 j$ rows. In remaining $k-2 j$ rows, we have $F_{n-1}^{k-2 j}$ possibilities for the first $n-1$ columns and the we apply the function $m_{n}^{k-2 j}$ to these $n-1$ columns and the remainder of the given cyclic Morse code in the last
column. In this way, we obtain all elements with prescribed property, and the elements with dashes in the last column are counted twice. This proves formula (4).

From $\mathbf{1}$ ), $\mathbf{2}$ ) and $\mathbf{3}$ ) it follows that formula (2) is valid, and the proof is complete.
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