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#### Abstract

Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. We study the possible existence of finite sets of positive integers such that the product of any two of them increased by 1 is a $k$-th power.


## 1. Introduction

The Greek mathematician Diophantus observed that the rational numbers $\frac{1}{16}, \frac{33}{16}, \frac{17}{4}$ and $\frac{105}{16}$ have the following property: the product of any two of them increased by 1 is a square of a rational number. Later, Fermat found a set of four positive integers with the above property, namely the set $\{1,3,8,120\}$. We call a Diophantine $m$-tuple any set of $m$ positive integers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ such that $a_{i} a_{j}+1$ is a perfect square whenever $1 \leq i<j \leq m$. It was known already to Euler that there are infinitely many Diophantine quadruples (see for instance [5, pp. 513-520]). Among the broad literature on that topic, let us mention that Baker \& Davenport [3] proved that $\{1,3,8\}$ cannot be extended to a Diophantine quintuple, a result improved by Dujella \& Pethő [10], who showed that even $\{1,3\}$ cannot be extended to a Diophantine quintuple. The first absolute upper bound for the size of Diophantine $m$-tuples was given by the second author in [7], where it was proved that Diophantine 9 -tuples do not exist. Very recently, he was able to considerably improve upon his result, by showing [9] that there exist no Diophantine sextuple and only finitely many Diophantine quintuples. However, the question of the existence of a Diophantine quintuple remains a challenging open problem. We refer to [6] for further references on this topic.

In the present work, we are interested in an analogous problem, namely the existence of sets $\{a, b, c\}$ of positive integers such that the three numbers $a b+1, a c+1$ and $b c+1$ are perfect $k$-th powers, for an integer $k \geq 3$. Examples of such triples for $\mathrm{k}=3$ and $k=4$ are given, respectively, by $\{2,171,25326\}$ and $\{1352,9539880,9768370\}$. To our knowledge, no example of such triple is known for $k \geq 5$. In order to investigate this question, we study a slightly more general problem, recently considered by Gyarmati [12]. Let $N \geq 1$ and $k \geq 3$ be integers. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be subsets of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $a b+1$ is a perfect $k$-th power whenever $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. What can be said about the cardinalities of the sets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ ? Let $|\mathcal{S}|$ denote the cardinality of a finite set $\mathcal{S}$. Using elementary arguments, Gyarmati [12] proved that $\min \{|\mathcal{A}|,|\mathcal{B}|\} \leq 1+(\log \log N) / \log (k-1)$. As a corollary of our main result, we show that, except for small values of $k$, we have the considerably better

[^0]estimate $\min \{|\mathcal{A}|,|\mathcal{B}|\} \leq 2$. We also provide an absolute (i.e. independent of $N$ ) upper bound for $\min \{|\mathcal{A}|,|\mathcal{B}|\}$ for the other values of $k$.

Our proofs rest on classical tools of Diophantine approximation, namely the theory of linear forms in logarithms and sharp irrationality measures for certain $k$-th roots of rational numbers.

## 2. Statement of the results

Theorem 1. Let $k \geq 3$ and $0<a<b<c<d$ be integers such that the four numbers

$$
a c+1, \quad a d+1, \quad b c+1 \quad \text { and } \quad b d+1
$$

are perfect $k$-th powers. Then we have $k \leq 176$.
Remark : The proof of Theorem 1 rests on the theory of linear forms in two logarithms of algebraic numbers, and heavily depends on a refinement obtained by Shorey [17], who was first to notice that one gets the best possible estimates when the algebraic numbers involved are close to 1 . Shorey's trick has numerous applications (see [19] for a survey), for instance to the exponential Diophantine equations $a x^{n}-b y^{n}=c, \frac{x^{n}-1}{x-1}=y^{q}$ and $\frac{x^{m}-1}{x-1}=\frac{y^{n}-1}{y-1}$, considered, respectively, in [15], [13] and [4]. The numerical value we get in Theorem 1 is remarkably small. This is due to the use of the sharp estimate of Mignotte [16] (see Lemma 2 below), and to the fact that our problem allows us to take a very large ray $\rho$ in the application of Lemma 2.

As an immediate corollary, we derive from Theorem 1 new results on the generalization of the problem of Diophantus mentioned in the Introduction.
Corollary 1. For any integer $k \geq 177$, there exist no set of four positive integers such that the product of any two of them increased by 1 is a perfect $k$-th power.

Corollary 2 below considerably improved Theorem 1 of Gyarmati [12] when the integer $k$ is not too small.

Corollary 2. Let $k \geq 177$ be an integer and $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be sets of positive integers such that $a b+1$ is a perfect $k$-th power for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Then we have

$$
\min \{|\mathcal{A}|,|\mathcal{B}|\} \leq 2
$$

Corollary 2 follows easily from Theorem 1. Indeed, if $a_{1}<a_{2}<a_{3}$ (resp. $b_{1}<b_{2}<b_{3}$ ) belong to $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. to $\mathcal{B}$ ), then we have either $a_{1}<a_{2}<b_{2}<b_{3}$ or $b_{1}<b_{2}<a_{2}<a_{3}$, and we may apply Theorem 1.
Theorem 2. Let $4 \leq k \leq 176$ be an integer. Assume that the integers $0<a<b<c_{1}<$ $\ldots<c_{m}$ are such that $a c_{i}+1$ and $b c_{i}+1$ are perfect $k$-th powers for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then there exists an effectively computable constant $C_{1}(k)$, depending only on $k$, such that $m \leq C_{1}(k)$. More precisely, we may take $C_{1}(4)=3$ and $C_{1}(k)=2$ for $k \geq 5$.
Remark : The proof of Theorem 2 depends on a result of Evertse [11] on Thue equations $a X^{n}+b Y^{n}=c$, whose proof uses hypergeometric methods. For $k \geq 6$, we could also derive Theorem 2 from Theorem 1 of Baker [1].

Unfortunately, the proof of Theorem 2 gives nothing for $k=3$. In that case, we need a stronger assumption.
Theorem 3. Assume that the integers $0<a<b<c<d_{1}<\ldots<d_{m}$ are such that $a d_{i}+1, b d_{i}+1$ and $c d_{i}+1$ are perfect cubes for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $m \leq 6$.

New results on the problem considered by Gyarmati and on the generalization of the problem of Diophantus follow from Theorems 2 and 3.
Corollary 3. Let $3 \leq k \leq 176$ be an integer and $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be sets of positive integers such that $a b+1$ is a perfect $k$-th power for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Then there exists an effectively computable constant $C_{2}(k)$, depending only on $k$, such that

$$
\min \{|\mathcal{A}|,|\mathcal{B}|\} \leq C_{2}(k)
$$

More precisely, we may take $C_{2}(3)=8, C_{2}(4)=4$ and $C_{2}(k)=3$ for $k \geq 5$.
The statement of Corollary 3 follows directly from Theorems 2 and 3, as Corollary 1 follows from Theorem 1.

Corollary 4. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Assume that the integers $0<a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{m}$ are such that $a_{i} a_{j}+1$ are perfect $k$-th powers whenever $1 \leq i<j \leq m$. Then there exists an effectively computable constant $C_{3}(k)$, depending only on $k$, such that $m \leq C_{3}(k)$. More precisely, we may take $C_{3}(2)=5, C_{3}(3)=7, C_{3}(4)=5, C_{3}(k)=4$ for $5 \leq k \leq 176$ and $C_{3}(k)=3$ for $k \geq 177$.

The statement of Corollary 4 for $k \geq 4$ follows directly from Corollaries 2 and 3 . The statement for $k=2$ is just the main result from [9], while the statement for $k=3$ will be proved in Section 4 using a special gap principle.

One can obtain weeker results than in Theorem 1 by using a result of Shorey \& Nesterenko [20] on irrationality measures of $k$-th roots of certain rational numbers, derived from a theorem of Baker [2]. Already in a few papers (see for instance [18], [13], [4] and the survey [19]), the authors have successfully combined this method with the theory of linear forms in logarithms. Here, we are able to complement Theorem 2 in the range $11 \leq k \leq 176$.
Theorem 4. Let $11 \leq k \leq 176$. Then there are only finitely many quadruples of integers $0<a<b<c<d$ such that the four numbers

$$
a c+1, \quad a d+1, \quad b c+1 \text { and } b d+1
$$

are perfect $k$-th powers.
Remark : Let us mention that for $k=3,4$ and 6 , there are triples $a<b<c$ of positive integers such that $a c+1$ and $b c+1$ are perfect $k$-powers. E.g. for $k=6$ the triple $(a, b, c)=(8,45,91)$ has the above property. Moreover, for $k=3$ and $k=4$ there exist infinite families of such triples.

For $k=3$, let $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ denote the sequence of the positive integer solutions of Pell equation $x^{2}-7 y^{2}=1$ and let $n \equiv 2 \bmod 7$. Then we may take $a=\left(x_{n}+5 y_{n}-3\right) / 14$, $b=\left(5 x_{n}+7 y_{n}-3\right) / 2$ and $c=\left(\left(5 x_{n}+7 y_{n}\right)^{2}+3\right) / 4$.

For $k=4$, we may take $a=\left(F_{n}^{2}-1\right) / 5, b=L_{n}^{2}-1$ and $c=L_{n}^{2}+1$, where $n \equiv 2$ or 8 $\bmod 10$, while $F_{n}, L_{n}$ denote, respectively, $n$-th Fibonacci and Lucas number.
Remark : The methods used to prove Theorems 1 and 2 can also be applied to investigate similar questions, like the existence of quadruples of positive integers $0<a<b<c<d$ such that the product of any two of them increased by $N$ is a $k$-th power, where $N$ is a fixed non-zero integer. For instance, we can explicitely compute an integer $k_{0}(N)$, depending only on $N$, such that such quadruples do not exist whenever $k>k_{0}(N)$. The case $k=2$ has been studied by the second author [8].

## 3. Auxiliary lemmas

Lemma 1. Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $a<b<c_{1}<c_{2}$ be positive integers such that $a c_{i}+1$ and $b c_{i}+1$ are $k$-th powers for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Then we have $b c_{2}>k^{k} c_{1}^{k-1} a^{k-1}$ and $c_{2}>k^{k} c_{1}^{k-2} a^{k-1}$. Further, if $a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{7}$ are positive integers such that $a_{i} a_{j}+1$ is a perfect cube for all $1 \leq i<j \leq 7$, then $a_{7}>3^{45} a_{3}^{9} a_{1}^{22}$. Finally, if $a<b<c<d_{1}<d_{2}$ are positive integers such that $a d_{i}+1, b d_{i}+1$ and $c d_{i}+1$ are perfect cubes for $i \in\{1,2\}$, then $d_{2}>27 d_{1}^{3-\sqrt{2}}$.

Proof : The first statement follows from the proof of [12, Theorem 1] applied to the sets $\{a, b\}$ and $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$.

Assume now that $k=3$. Then, by the same result of Gyarmati, we have $a_{4} a_{2}>3^{3} a_{3}^{2} a_{1}^{2}$ and $a_{5}^{2} a_{3}^{2}>3^{6} a_{4}^{4} a_{2}^{4}$. Multiplying these two inequalities we obtain

$$
a_{5}^{2}>3^{9} a_{4}^{3} a_{2}^{3} a_{1}^{2}>3^{9}\left(3^{3} a_{3}^{2} a_{1}^{2}\right)^{3} a_{1}^{2}=3^{18} a_{3}^{6} a_{1}^{8}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
a_{5}>3^{9} a_{3}^{3} a_{1}^{4}
$$

Now we have

$$
a_{6}>3^{3} a_{5}^{2} a_{2}^{2} / a_{3}>3^{21} a_{3}^{6} a_{1}^{8} a_{2}^{2} / a_{3}>3^{21} a_{3}^{5} a_{1}^{10}
$$

and

$$
a_{7}>3^{3} a_{6}^{2} a_{2}^{2} / a_{3}>3^{45} a_{3}^{9} a_{1}^{22}
$$

For the last statement of the lemma, first note that the Gyarmati's gap principle gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
b d_{2}>27 a^{2} d_{1}^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c d_{2}>27 b^{2} d_{1}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\varphi=1+\sqrt{2}$. If $b<a^{\varphi}$ or $c>b^{\varphi}$, the result follows from (1), resp. (2). Otherwise, we have $c>b^{\varphi}>a^{\varphi^{2}}$, which, combined with (1), yields the result.

We need the following refinement, due to Mignotte [16], of a theorem of Laurent, Mignotte \& Nesterenko [14] on linear forms in two logarithms. For any non-zero algebraic number $\alpha$, we denote by $\mathrm{h}(\alpha)$ its logarithmic absolute height. For instance, for any non-zero rational number $p / q$, written under its irreducible form, we have $\mathrm{h}(p / q)=\log \max \{|p|,|q|\}$.

Lemma 2. Consider the linear form

$$
\Lambda=b_{2} \log \alpha_{2}-b_{1} \log \alpha_{1}
$$

where $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ are positive integers. Suppose that $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are multiplicatively independent. Put

$$
D=\left[\mathbf{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right): \mathbf{Q}\right] /\left[\mathbf{R}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right): \mathbf{R}\right] .
$$

Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, h, k$ be real positive numbers, and $\rho$ a real number $>1$. Put $\lambda=\log \rho, \chi=h / \lambda$ and suppose that $\chi \geq \chi_{0}$ for some number $\chi_{0} \geq 0$ and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h & \geq D\left(\log \left(\frac{b_{1}}{a_{2}}+\frac{b_{2}}{a_{1}}\right)+\log \lambda+f\left(\left\lceil K_{0}\right\rceil\right)\right)+0.023, \\
a_{i} & \geq \max \left\{1, \rho\left|\log \alpha_{i}\right|-\log \left|\alpha_{i}\right|+2 D \mathrm{~h}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right\}, \quad(i=1,2), \\
a_{1} a_{2} & \geq \lambda^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
f(x)=\log \frac{(1+\sqrt{x-1}) \sqrt{x}}{x-1}+\frac{\log x}{6 x(x-1)}+\frac{3}{2}+\log \frac{3}{4}+\frac{\log \frac{x}{x-1}}{x-1}
$$

and

$$
K_{0}=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2+2 \chi_{0}}}{3}+\sqrt{\frac{2\left(1+\chi_{0}\right)}{9}+\frac{2 \lambda}{3}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}}\right)+\frac{4 \lambda \sqrt{2+\chi_{0}}}{3 \sqrt{a_{1} a_{2}}}}\right)^{2} a_{1} a_{2}
$$

Put

$$
v=4 \chi+4+1 / \chi \quad \text { and } \quad m=\max \left\{2^{5 / 2}(1+\chi)^{3 / 2},(1+2 \chi)^{5 / 2} / \chi\right\} .
$$

Then we have the lower bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log |\Lambda| \geq & -\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{v}{6}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{v^{2}}{9}+\frac{4 \lambda v}{3}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}}\right)+\frac{8 \lambda m}{3 \sqrt{a_{1} a_{2}}}}\right)^{2} a_{1} a_{2} \\
& -\max \left\{\lambda(1.5+2 \chi)+\log \left(\left((2+2 \chi)^{3 / 2}+(2+2 \chi)^{2} \sqrt{k^{*}}\right) A+(2+2 \chi)\right), D \log 2\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A=\max \left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad k^{*}=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\left(\frac{1+2 \chi}{3 \chi}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{2}{3 \chi}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{(1+2 \chi)^{1 / 2}}{\chi}\right)
$$

Proof : This is Theorem 2 of [16].
The proof of Theorems 2 and 3 depends on the following result of Evertse [11].

Lemma 3. If $a, b$ and $n$ are positive integers with $n \geq 3$ and $c$ is a positive real number, then there is at most one positive integral solution $(x, y)$ to the inequality

$$
\left|a x^{n}-b y^{n}\right| \leq c
$$

with

$$
\max \left\{\left|a x^{n}\right|,\left|b y^{n}\right|\right\}>\beta_{n} c^{\alpha_{n}}
$$

where $\alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}$ are effectively computable positive constants satisfying

$$
\alpha_{3}=9, \quad \alpha_{n}=\max \left\{\frac{3 n-2}{2(n-3)}, \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\right\} \quad \text { for } n \geq 4
$$

and

$$
\beta_{3}=1152.2, \quad \beta_{4}=98.53, \quad \beta_{n}<n^{2} \quad \text { for } n \geq 5
$$

Proof : This is Theorem 2.1 of [11].
The proof of Theorem 4 uses an irrationality measure [20] of certain algebraic numbers derived from a Theorem of Baker [1], using some improvements from [2].

Lemma 4. Let $A, B, K$ and $n$ be positive integers such that $A>B, K<n, n \geq 3$ and $\omega=(B / A)^{1 / n}$ is not a rational number. For $0<\phi<1$, put

$$
\delta=1+\frac{2-\phi}{K}, \quad s=\frac{\delta}{1-\phi}
$$

and

$$
u_{1}=40^{n(K+1)(s+1) /(K s-1)}, \quad u_{2}^{-1}=K 2^{K+s+1} 40^{n(K+1)} .
$$

Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(A-B)^{-\delta} u_{1}^{-1}>1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\left|\omega-\frac{p}{q}\right|>\frac{u_{2}}{A q^{K(s+1)}}
$$

for all integers $p$ and $q$ with $q>0$.
Proof : This is Lemma 1 of Shorey \& Nesterenko [20]. We notice that this has been refined by Hirata-Kohno in [13] but the statement of [20] is sufficient for our purpose.

## 4. Proofs

## Proof of Theorem 1 :

Let $0<a<b<c<d$ be integers such that there exist positive integers $r, s, t, u$ and $k \geq 2$ with

$$
a c+1=r^{k}, \quad a d+1=s^{k}, \quad b c+1=t^{k} \quad \text { and } \quad b d+1=u^{k} .
$$

Our aim is to prove that $k$ is bounded by an absolute constant. Hence, we may assume that $k \geq 160$ and that, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}>b c+1 \geq 3^{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d>c>3^{80} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also observe that, by Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log d>(k-2) \log c \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
\alpha_{1}=\frac{u r}{s t}, \quad \alpha_{2}=\frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{a c+1}{b c+1}
$$

and we consider the linear form in logarithms

$$
\Lambda=\left|\log \alpha_{2}-k \log \alpha_{1}\right|=\left|\log \left(\frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{a c+1}{b c+1}\right)-k \log \left(\frac{u r}{s t}\right)\right| .
$$

Before applying Lemma 2 with $b_{2}=1$ and $b_{1}=k$ in order to bound $\Lambda$, we need some estimates.

Firstly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{2}-1\right|=\alpha_{2}-1=\frac{b-a}{a b c+a}<\frac{1}{c} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly, from (5) and the upper bound

$$
\left|\left(\frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{a c+1}{b c+1}\right)-\left(\frac{u r}{s t}\right)^{k}\right|=\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{k} \frac{b-a}{a(a d+1)} \leq \frac{(b-a)(a c+1)}{a(a d+1)(b c+1)} \leq \frac{1}{a d}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \leq \frac{2}{a d} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now define the quantities $a_{1}, a_{2}, h, k, \rho$ appearing in Lemma 2 .
We set

$$
\rho=c \quad(\text { thus } \quad \lambda=\log c)
$$

and, by (5) and (7), we may take

$$
a_{1}=3+\frac{2(k+1)}{k(k-2)} \log d \quad \text { and } \quad a_{2}=3+6 \log c .
$$

Indeed, we easily see that $k \mathrm{~h}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\mathrm{h}((b d+1)(a c+1)) \leq \log \left(c^{3} d\right)$, whence by (6) we get $k \mathrm{~h}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq(1+3 /(k-2)) \log d$.

Further, we see that one can take $h=\lambda / 2$, since $c \geq 3^{k / 2}$ by (4). We should also check that $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are multiplicatively independent. However, a look at the proof of Theorem 1.5 of [16] shows that this is not needed. Indeed, we apply it with the choice $L=3$, hence it is sufficient to check that the three numbers $1, \alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are distinct, which is clearly the case.

It follows from our choice of $h$ that $\chi_{0}=1 / 2$, whence $v=8$ and $m=8 \sqrt{2}$. Using (5) and (6), we get the lower bound

$$
\log \Lambda \geq-\frac{1}{\log c}\left(\frac{4}{3}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{64}{9}+\frac{64}{9}+\frac{32 \sqrt{2}}{3 \sqrt{3}}}\right)^{2} a_{1} a_{2}-2.5 \log c-\log \left(20.8 a_{1}\right)
$$

Combined with (8), after a few calculations, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log d \leq 167 \frac{k+1}{k(k-2)} \log d+254.9+2.5 \log c+\log ((\log d) / k) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4), (5) and (6), we infer from (9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq 167 \frac{k+1}{k(k-2)}+\frac{254.9}{\log d}+\frac{2.5}{k-2}+\frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{k}{\log d}\right) \log \left(\frac{\log d}{k}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have assumed $k \geq 160$, it follows from (4), (5), (6) and (10) that the integer $k$ satisfies

$$
k \leq 176
$$

as claimed.

## Proof of Theorem 2 :

Let $k \geq 5$ and assume that $m \geq 3$. Let $a c_{m-1}+1=x^{k}$ and $b c_{m-1}+1=y^{k}$. Then

$$
b x^{k}-a y^{k}=b-a
$$

and Lemma 3 implies

$$
a b c_{m-1}<k^{2} b^{3.25}
$$

Hence, $c_{m-1}<k^{2} b^{2.25}$. On the other hand, Lemma 1 implies that

$$
c_{m-1} \geq c_{2}>k^{k} c_{1}^{k-2}>k^{5} b^{3}
$$

a contradiction.
Let $k=4$. Then, as above, we obtain $c_{m-1}<99 b^{4}$. By Lemma 1, we have $c_{2}>256 b^{2}$ and $c_{3}>256 c_{2}^{2}>256^{3} b^{4}$. Therefore $m-1 \leq 2$ and $m \leq 3$.

## Proof of Theorem 3 :

Let $a d_{m-1}+1=x^{3}$ and $b d_{m-1}+1=y^{3}$. As in the proof of Theorem 2, an application of Lemma 3 gives $a b d_{m-1}<1153 b^{9}$ and

$$
d_{m-1}<1153 b^{8}
$$

On the other hand, successive applications of Lemma 1 give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{2}>27 d_{1}^{3-\sqrt{2}}>27 b^{3-\sqrt{2}}, \\
& d_{3}>27 d_{2}^{3-\sqrt{2}}>4930 b^{2.51}, \\
& d_{4}>27 d_{3}^{2} b^{-1}>6 \cdot 10^{8} b^{4.02}, \\
& d_{5}>27 d_{4}^{2} b^{-1}>9 \cdot 10^{18} b^{7.04} \\
& d_{6}>27 d_{5}^{2} b^{-1}>2 \cdot 10^{39} b^{13.08} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $m-1 \leq 5$ and $m \leq 6$.

## Proof of Corollary 4:

It suffices to prove the corollary for $k=3$. Let $a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{8}$ be positive integers such that the product of any two of them increased by 1 is a perfect cube. As in the proof of Theorem 3, Lemma 3 implies $a_{7}<1153 a_{2}^{8}$. From Lemma 1, we have $a_{7}>3^{45} a_{2}^{9}$, a contradiction.

## Proof of Theorem 4 :

Let $11 \leq k \leq 176$ be an integer. We denote by $\kappa_{1}(k), \ldots, \kappa_{6}(k)$ effectively computable positive constants which depend only on $k$. Assume that the integers $0<a<b<c<d$ are such that there exist integers $r, s, t$ and $u$ with

$$
a c+1=r^{k}, \quad a d+1=s^{k}, \quad b c+1=t^{k} \quad \text { and } \quad b d+1=u^{k} .
$$

We will apply Lemma 4 with $K=2$ to the algebraic number

$$
\omega=\left(\frac{a(b c+1)}{b(a c+1)}\right)^{1 / k}
$$

i.e. with $A=b(a c+1)$ and $A-B=b-a$. Firstly, we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega-\frac{s t}{r u}\right| \leq \frac{3}{a d} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi<1 / 4$ be a (very) small positive number, and, with the notation of Lemma 4 , set $\delta=2-\phi / 2$ and $s=\delta /(1-\phi)$.

The assumption (3) in the statement of Lemma 4 is fulfilled if

$$
b(a c+1)>40^{3 k(s+1)(2 s-1)}(b-a)^{2-\phi / 2}
$$

thus, since $c>b$, it is fulfilled as soon as $c>\kappa_{1}(k)$. Under this assumption, we infer from Lemma 4 and (11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{a d} \geq\left|\omega-\frac{s t}{r u}\right|>\frac{\kappa_{2}(k)}{b a c(u r)^{6+7 \phi}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $u r=(a c+1)^{1 / k}(b d+1)^{1 / k}$, it follows from (12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a d<\kappa_{3}(k) a b c(a c)^{(6+7 \phi) / k}(b d)^{(6+7 \phi) / k} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b d>k^{k} c^{k-1} a^{k-1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $b<c$ and combining (13) and (14), we get

$$
d^{k-6-7 \phi}<\kappa_{4}(k)(b c)^{k+6+7 \phi} a^{6+7 \phi}<\kappa_{4}(k)(a c)^{2 k+12+14 \phi}<\kappa_{5}(k) d^{(2 k+12+14 \phi) /(k-2)}
$$

whence we deduce that $d<\kappa_{6}(k)$, since $k \geq 11$, as claimed.
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