Proceedings of the 1. Conference on
Applied Mathematics and Computation
Dubrovnik, Croatia, September 13–18, 1999
pp. 147–154

Explicit Stable Methods for the Initial Value Problem for Second–Order Parabolic Systems*

Nedžad Limić† and Mladen Rogina‡

Abstract. A class of numerical methods for ODE, which are explicit, absolutely stable, and of any order of convergence, is constructed. Methods can be efficiently applied to those initial value problems for the second-order parabolic system which have solutions with finite L_1–norms. Numerical procedure consists of two basic steps. The space discretizations of elliptic operator must have compartmental structure, and the resulting ODE are solved by constructed numerical methods.

AMS subject classification: 35J15, 35K45, 65L12, 60J25

Key words: parabolic systems, finite difference schemes

1. Introduction

A class of numerical methods for the initial value problem for the second-order parabolic system is proposed and analyzed. Methods are explicit, unconditionally stable, and of any convergence order. These methods are applicable only to initial value problems for the second-order parabolic systems with L_1–solutions. In this article the case of parabolic systems on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is considered, although methods are applicable to problems on bounded domains, as well. The crucial step of the construction is the space discretization of elliptic operator, so that its matrix approximations have the compartmental structure. In this way, the initial problem is approximated by a sequence of ordinary differential equations (ODE). Once the compartmental structure of approximations is gained, methods are defined by approximating the evolution operators of ODE in terms of rational functions with positive terms. The numerator is a polynomial of nonnegative matrix-valued functions with positive coefficients, and the denominator is the corresponding polynomial of l_1-norms. In turn, such a form of expressions ensures unconditional stability of approximations.

In the second section the mentioned class of methods for ODE is constructed and error bounds are obtained. The basic results for this class of methods are described

*This work was supported by the Grant No. 037011 from the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Croatia.

†Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia, e–mail: nlimic@math.hr

‡Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia, e–mail: rogina@math.hr

in Proposition 1. In the third section an algorithm for nonhomogeneous ODE is described. Finally, in the fourth section conditions on coefficients of elliptic operator are given, ensuring solutions in $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then it is shown how finite element techniques can be combined with the developed methods for ODE in order to numerically solve second-order parabolic systems.

2. A class of explicit and stable methods for homogeneous ODE

A class of numerical schemes for the initial value problem for a system of ODE is considered in this section. The system is defined by

$$\frac{d}{dt}v(t) = A(t)v(t), \quad v(0) = v_0, \tag{1}$$

where $v(\cdot)$, $f(\cdot)$ are column-valued functions on $[0,\infty)$ of a finite or infinite dimension. Obviously, the column v_0 has the same dimension. The index set of their elements is denoted by J. The matrix-valued function $A(\cdot)$ must have a particular structure.

Assumption 1. *The matrix-valued function $A(\cdot) = \{a_{ij}(\cdot)\}_{J\times J}$, indexed by the set J, has continuous matrix elements on $[0,\infty)$, with the following properties:*

(a) $a_{ii}(t) \le 0$, *for all* $i \in J$, $t \ge 0$,

(b) $a_{ij}(t) \ge 0$, *for all* $i, j \in J$, $i \ne j$, $t \ge 0$,

(c) $a_j(t) = \sum_i a_{ij}(t) \le 0$, *for all* $j \in J$, $t \ge 0$.

A matrix with prescribed properties is called a *matrix with the compartmental structure*. If the functions a_j are zero for all $j \in J$, the matrix-valued function $A(\cdot)$ is called *conservative*. There is a simple result [3] concerning solutions of (1). Let q be a positive and measurable function on $[0,\infty)$, bounded on bounded intervals of $[0,\infty)$, such that $|a_{ii}(t)| \le q(t)$. Then the matrix-valued function $A(\cdot)$ generates an evolution family of matrices $Q(t,s)$, $t,s \in [0,\infty)$, such that

$$\|Q(t,s)\|_1 \le 1, \quad 0 \le s \le t < \infty,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the l_1-norm. In the case of conservative generator $A(\cdot)$, the equality $\|Q(t,s)\|_1 = 1$ is valid.

The matrix $A(t)$ can be represented as $A(t) = D(t) + B(t)$, where $D(t)$ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements $a_{ii}(t)$, and $B(t)$ is a matrix with nonnegative off-diagonal elements and a zero diagonal. It is easy to describe schemes for matrices A satisfying Assumption 1, and having all diagonal elements equal. First we consider the case of t-independent matrices, so that $a_{ii} = -p$. Let τ be the increment in time, and $t_m = m\tau$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots$. Let v_m be the approximations of $v(t_m)$. For t-independent A, the approximations of order L are defined by:

$$v_m = V_L(\tau)v_{m-1}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad V_L(\tau) = P_L(\tau,p)^{-1}P_L(\tau,B),$$

where the polynomial $P_L(\tau, x)$, of order L, is equal to the first $L+1$ terms of the Taylor expansion of $x \mapsto \exp(\tau x)$, i.e., $P_L(\tau, x) = \sum_{r=0}^{L} \tau^r x^r / r!$. The matrix l_1-norm of the numerator $P_L(\tau, B)$ is not larger than the norm of the denominator, so that $\|V_L(\tau)\|_1 \leq \exp(-\kappa\tau)$, where $\kappa = \inf_i |a_i|$. The order of convergence is L, as follows from the corresponding local error bounds:

$$\|v_m - v(t_m)\|_1 \leq \|V_L(\tau)\|_1 \, \|v_{m-1} - v(t_{m-1})\|_1 + \frac{2(p\tau)^{L+1}}{(L+1)!} \, \|v(t_{m-1})\|_1.$$

If all $a_i = 0$, then $\|v_m\|_1 = \|v_0\|_1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The matrix $V_L(\tau)$ is obviously an approximation of $Q(\tau, 0)$ of the order $L+1$.

An extension to t-dependent matrices $A(\cdot)$ is simple. Let

$$A(t) = -p(t)I + B(t),$$

where both p, and the matrix elements of B, are smooth enough, so that integration formulæ, to be used in the proceeding construction, have desired error bounds. Let $W(t, s)$ be the evolution family generated by $B(\cdot)$. The matrix $Q(m\tau, (m-1)\tau)$ is approximated by a ratio, in which the numerator approximates $W((m-1)\tau, m\tau)$, and the denominator approximates the corresponding function of p.

In the first step of the construction the numerator contains the first $L+1$ terms of the expansion of $W((m-1)\tau, m\tau)$, and the denominator must contain the corresponding $L+1$ terms of the expansion of the exponential function of the integral of p. The so obtained numerator and denominator are denoted by $Z_L(\tau, m, B)$ and $Z_L(\tau, m, p)$, respectively. In the second step of the construction each term of the expansion must be approximated by an integration formula, with an error bound of the order $L+1$. The so obtained numerator and denominator are denoted by $X_L(\tau, m, B)$ and $X_L(\tau, m, p)$, respectively. The sequence

$$v_m = V_L(\tau, m)\, v_{m-1}, \quad V_L(\tau, m) = X_L(\tau, m, p)^{-1} X_L(\tau, m, B), \tag{2}$$

obtained in this way, converges to the solution of (1) with the order L.

For low orders of convergence, integration formulæ are simple. The third-order scheme is defined by the third-degree polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned} X_3(\tau, m, g) = 1 + \frac{\tau}{6}\big[g(t_b) + 4g(t_m) + g(t_e)\big] + \frac{\tau^2}{12}\big[g(t_e)g(t_b) + g(t_e)^2 \\ + 2g(t_m)^2 + 2g(t_m)g(t_b)\big] + \frac{\tau^3}{6}\, g(t_e)g(t_m)g(t_b), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where t_b, t_m and t_e denote the beginning, midpoint and endpoint of the interval $[(m-1)\tau, m\tau]$, respectively. In order to apply the scheme to noncommuting matrices $B(t)$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, the order of factors $B(t)$ must be respected.

If the diagonal elements (functions) a_{ii} of A are not mutually equal, the proposed schemes can be used after a slight adjustment. Let $[0, T]$ be the interval of interest.

It is assumed that there exists a continuous function p on the considered interval such that $p(t) \geq -a_{ii}(t)$ for all i, and $t \in [0,T]$. After splitting $A(t) = -p(t)I + B(t)$, the proposed schemes can be used. Obviously, the matrix-valued function $B(\cdot)$ has nontrivial diagonal elements. It is clear that the optimal error is achieved by choosing the function p as small as possible. Apparently, the best estimate is obtained when all the diagonal elements are mutually equal.

In order to obtain optimal application of integration formulæ in the scheme (2), it is useful to assume that the following error estimates are valid:

$$\sup_{0\leq m\leq K} |Z_L(\tau,m,p) - X_L(\tau,m,p)| \leq \tau^{L+1}\alpha(L,p),$$
$$\sup_{0\leq m\leq K} \|Z_L(\tau,m,B) - X_L(\tau,m,B)\|_1 \leq \tau^{L+1}\beta(L,B),$$

where the error bounds α and β are τ-independent. It has to be pointed out that the error bounds α and β are equal to zero for t-independent matrices A.

To write expressions as briefly as possible, we use the following notation:

$$|p|_T = \sup\{|p(t)| \mid t \in [0,T]\}, \quad \mu_T = \inf\{|p(t)| \mid t \in [0,T]\}.$$

Apart from this, we need a magnitude of oscillation over intervals $I(r) = [(r-1)\tau, r\tau]$,

$$|\Delta p|_T = \max_{r\leq K} \big\{ \max\{p(t) \mid t \in I(r)\} - \min\{p(t) \mid t \in I(r)\}\big\},$$

where $T = K\tau$. The convergence of numerical schemes (2) to solutions of (1) is described by the next result.

Proposition 1. *Let the interval $[0,T]$ be discretized by $t_k = k\tau$, $k = 0,1,\ldots,K$, where $\tau = T/K$.*

(i) Then $\|V_L(\tau,m)\|_1 \leq 1$, and $\|V_L(\tau,m)\|_1 = 1$ for conservative $A(\cdot)$.

(ii) Let $Q(t,s)$ be the evolution family associated with (1). Then

$$\|Q(T,0) - V_L(\tau,K)V_L(\tau,K-1)\cdots V_L(\tau,1)\|_1 \leq \tau^L \frac{2T|p|_T^{L+1}}{(L+1)!}\exp(\tau|\Delta p|_T) + \tau^L \frac{T(\alpha(L,p)+\beta(L,B))}{\exp(\tau\mu_T)}.$$

An implementation of the method (2) is actually reduced to an efficient implementation of the multiplication of a matrix with a column. This fact must be manifested as an advantage for problems with sparse stiffness matrices having a larger band, as demonstrated in the second example.

Example 1. *To demonstrate the method, the initial value problem (1) is solved with the t-independent matrix $A = -2I + I_+ + I_-$, of the order $n = 10000$, where $I_\pm$ are the first off-diagonals. The problem is solved numerically on the interval $[0,1]$ with the*

time increment $\tau = 10^{-3}$. *We compare the method* (2), *by using the scheme* (3) *with* $L = 3$, *and implicit Euler's method. The method* (2) *is slightly faster and the ratio of computing times is* 0.73.

Example 2. *Consider the parabolic initial value problem with the elliptic operator* $A = (\sigma^2/2)\Delta$ *on* $D = (0,1) \times (0,1)$, *and the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. The exact solution of this problem is*

$$u(t, x_1, x_2) = \exp(-\pi^2\sigma^2 t)\sin(\pi x_1)\sin(\pi x_2).$$

In this example we take $\sigma^2 = 0.1/\pi^2$ *and* $T = 1$. *This boundary value problem is numerically approximated in the usual way by choosing the grid step* $h = 2^{-6}$. *The resulting ODE* (1) *is solved by the method* (2) *with* $L = 3$, *i.e.,* (3), *and implicit Euler's method, with various time increments* $\tau = 1/K$, $K = 100, 300, 500$. *Algebraic systems with band matrices, appearing in Euler's method, are solved by Gaussian eliminations without pivoting. All calculations were carried out in double precision, with the same level of optimization, on SUN–SPARC (Ultra–Enterprise) computer. The ratios of computing times for the two methods are given in Table* 1. *The error bounds* $\varepsilon(3,\tau)$ *between exact and approximate solutions are also given in the last row of the table.*

K	100	300	500
$\frac{\text{time (scheme (3))}}{\text{time (Euler's method)}}$	1.29e−03	1.33e−03	0.34e−03
$\varepsilon(3,\tau)$	0.2208e+00	0.4670e−02	0.9019e−03

Table 1. Ratio of computing times.

Apparently, the efficiency of the method (3) is emphasized when applied to parabolic systems with space dimension higher than 1.

3. Nonhomogeneous ODE

Now we consider the system

$$\frac{d}{dt}v(t) = A(t)v(t) + f(t), \quad v(0) = v_0, \tag{4}$$

and describe a second-order method, based on the constructed methods of Section 2, which can be efficiently used to solve nonhomogeneous ODE. The solution of (4) has the form

$$v(T) = Q(T,0)v_0 + \int_0^T Q(T,s)\,f(s)\,ds.$$

The objective is a numerical approximation of the second term on the right-hand side:

$$u(T) = \int_0^T Q(T,s)\,f(s)\,ds = \sum_{k=1}^{K}\int_{(k-1)\tau}^{k\tau} Q(T,s)\,f(s)\,ds. \tag{5}$$

Only the second-order convergence is discussed here. In the first step of the construction the interval $[0,T]$ is divided into K subintervals of the length τ. The points of subdivision are denoted by $t_k = k\tau$, $k = 0,1,\ldots,K$. Then the trapezoidal rule is used at each subinterval to approximate integrals in (5) by sums. In the second step, the matrices $U(T,k\tau)$ are approximated by products of $V_L(\tau,k)$, where $L \geq 2$. The obtained expression approximating (5) has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{app}(T) = \frac{\tau}{2} f(T) + \tau V_L(\tau,K)\, f(T-\tau) + \tau V_L(\tau,K) V_L(\tau,K-1)\, f(T-2\tau) \\ + \cdots + \tau V_L(\tau,K) V_L(\tau,K-1) \cdots V_L(\tau,2)\, f(\tau) \\ + \frac{\tau}{2} V_L(\tau,K) V_L(\tau,K-1) \cdots V_L(\tau,1)\, f(0). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

When the corresponding approximation of the first term in (4) is summed with the obtained approximation of $u(T)$, we get a rule analogous to rules of linear one-step methods. There are K steps of computation, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w(0) = v_0 + \frac{\tau}{2} f(0) \quad &\to \quad w(1) = V_L(\tau,1)\, w(0) \\ w(1) + \tau f(\tau) \quad &\to \quad w(2) = V_L(\tau,2)\big(w(1) + \tau f(\tau)\big) \\ w(2) + \tau f(2\tau) \quad &\to \quad w(3) = V_L(\tau,3)\big(w(2) + \tau f(2\tau)\big) \\ \cdots \quad &\qquad\qquad \cdots \\ w(K-1) + \tau f(T-\tau) \quad &\to \quad w(K) = V_L(\tau,K)\big(w(K-1) + \tau f(T-\tau)\big) \\ w(K) + \frac{\tau}{2} f(T) \quad &\to \quad v_{app}(T) = w(K) + \frac{\tau}{2} f(T). \end{aligned}$$

Higher order approximations, with the same time increment τ, can be obtained by using higher order integration formulæ, such as Simpson's rule.

4. Initial value problem for parabolic systems

In order to apply the developed methods of Sections 2 and 3 to an initial value problem for the second-order parabolic system, it is necessary to approximate the parabolic system with ODE having the compartmental structure. The initial value problem is considered on $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let the measurable functions $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, b_i, $i,j = 1,2,\ldots,d$, and c be defined on $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and satisfy the following conditions: $|b_i|, |c| \leq M$, $c \leq 0$,

$$M|z|_2^2 \geq \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_{ij}(t,\mathbf{x}) z_i \bar{z}_j \geq \mu |z|_2^2, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where M and μ are positive constants, z_i are complex numbers, and $|z|_2$ is the corresponding l_2-norm. The associated family of elliptic differential operators in divergence

form

$$A(t,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \partial_i a_{ij}(t,\mathbf{x})\partial_j + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \partial_j b_j(t,\mathbf{x}) + c(t,\mathbf{x}), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{7}$$

is considered on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The second-order parabolic system is formally defined by

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - A(t)\right) u(t) = f(t), \quad u(0) = u_0. \tag{8}$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_p$ denote the $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm and let $(\cdot|\cdot)$ be the scalar product in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The Sobolev space of functions on $\mathbb{E}_T = (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d$ having one space derivative is denoted by $W_2^{0,1}(\mathbb{E}_T)$. The quadratic form on $W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by the elliptic operator (7) is denoted by $a(t,\cdot,\cdot)$. We assume that the functions b_i, c are such that the form is coercitive:

$$a(t,u,u) \geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{d} \|\partial_i u\|_2^2, \quad t \geq 0,$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. The variational formulation of (8) is defined by:

$$\big(v(t)|u(t)\big) - \int_0^t \big(\dot{v}(s)|u(s)\big)\, ds + \int_0^t a(s,v(s),u(s))\, ds = \big(v(0)|u_0\big) + \int_0^t \big(v(s)|f(s)\big)\, ds, \tag{9}$$

for any $t \in (0,T)$ and any $v \in C_0^1([0,T], W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$. There exists a unique solution of (9) in $W_2^{0,1}(\mathbb{E}_T)$, such that $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_2$ is a continuous function on $[0,T]$ (see [2]).

Now we impose conditions on the coefficients of the elliptic operator in order to get solutions in $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ [1]. Let the coefficients have the following representation:

$$a_{ij}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \hat{a}_{ij}(t,\mathbf{x}) + \delta_{ij}, \quad b_i(t,\mathbf{x}) = \hat{b}_i(t,\mathbf{x}) + \gamma_i, \quad i,j = 1,2,\ldots,d. \tag{10}$$

Lemma 1. *Let $T > 0$, $u_0 \in W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \in L_2(\mathbb{E}_T) \cap L_1(\mathbb{E}_T)$. Let $a_{ij}(t)$, $b_i(t)$ have the representation* (10)*, where $\hat{a}_{ij}(t,\cdot)$, $\hat{b}_i(t,\cdot)$ are elements of $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, uniformly with respect to $t \in [0,T]$. Then*

$$\|u(t)\|_1 \leq \|u_0\|_1 + \|f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{E}_T)}, \quad \textit{for all} \quad t \in [0,T].$$

The orthogonal coordinate system in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is determined by unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_i$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, points $\mathbf{x} = h\sum_{l=1}^{d} k_l \mathbf{e}_l$, $h = 2^{-n}$, $k_l \in \mathbb{Z}$, define a numerical grid G_n on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Elements of G_n are called grid knots. Let $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \phi(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{x})$ be basis functions centred at grid knots $\mathbf{w}$, having piecewise continuous first-order partial derivatives. Basis functions span a linear space $\mathcal{L}_n \subset W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. When the variational formulation (9) is applied to the linear spaces $\mathcal{L}_n$ the result is a sequence of ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_n(t) = A_n(t) u_n(t), \quad u_n(0) = u_{0n}, \tag{11}$$

defining numerical approximations of (9) of the following form

$$u(n,t,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{w}_j} u_{n_j}(t)\,\phi(\mathbf{w}_j,\mathbf{x}).$$

Numerical approximations $u(n,t) \in W_2^{0,1}(\mathbb{E}_T)$ converge to the solution of (9) in $W_2^{0,1}(\mathbb{E}_T)$ for each $T > 0$. If in addition, the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied, and $A_n(t)$ have the compartmental structure, the convergence in $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is also ensured.

Unfortunately, finite element techniques do not usually produce ODE with the compartmental structure. In some cases, such as for Laplace operator, the corresponding approximations $A_n(t)$ have the compartmental structure. Only such cases are considered here. The systems (11) can be solved by the method (2) in order to get numerical approximations of $u_n(t_k)$ at a discrete sequence of times t_k, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, K$. Some advantages of this approach have already been discussed.

Numerical methods ensuring the compartmental structure for general cases are described in [1].

5. Discussion

Although applicability and efficiency of methods of Section 2 have been demonstrated only for parabolic systems on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with finite L_1–norm solutions, the methods can be applied to problems on bounded domains, as well. In the case of an initial value problem for the second-order parabolic system on a bounded domain with homogeneous Dirichlet condition, the procedure of Section 4 can be straightforwardly applied. In the case of other boundary conditions, the compartmental structure of approximations $A_n(t)$ must be ensured.

The assumption of finiteness of L_1–norm of solution of the initial value problem for the second-order parabolic system on $\mathbb{R}^d$ seems to be restrictive, while in the case of bounded domains it seems to be superfluous.

Our intention was to develop methods for problems related to diffusion and derived from diffusion, such as behaviour of probability distributions of Markov processes generated by elliptic operators. In this way, approximations having the compartmental structure are directly related to approximations of a Markov process (or/and diffusion) by a sequence of Markov jump processes.

References

[1] N. Limić and M. Rogina, *Explicit Stable Methods for Second Order Parabolic Systems*, Math. Commun., 5 (2000), pp. 97–115.

[2] J. L. Lions, *Contrôle Optimal de Systemes Gouvernés par des Équations aux Dérivées Partielles*, Dunod, Paris, 1968.

[3] H. Tanabe, *Equations of Evolution*, Pitman, London, 1979.