

Homogenization of a Pseudoparabolic System

M. Peszyńska, R.E. Showalter, Son-Young Yi

Department of Mathematics
Oregon State University

Dubrovnik, 2008

Dept of Energy, Office of Science, 98089
“Modeling, Analysis, and Simulation of Multiscale Preferential Flow”

Outline

1 Introduction

- Richards Equation
- Pseudoparabolic System
- Asymptotic Expansion

2 The Classical Bimodal System

- The ε -problem
- The Partially-Upscaled System
- The Upscaled System

3 The Highly-Heterogeneous Case

- The ε -problem
- The Partially-Upscaled System
- The Macro-Micro System

Richards Equation

Two-phase flow through a partially-saturated porous medium with *porosity* $\phi(x)$, *permeability* $K(x)$, relative permeability $k_w(u)$ and *capillary pressure function* $P_c(u)$:

$$\phi(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot K(x) \frac{k_w(u)}{\mu_w} \nabla (P_c(u) + \rho G d(x)) = 0,$$

$u(x, t)$ denotes saturation, and gravitational effects depend on depth $d(x) = x_3$.

Dynamic Capillary Pressure

Experimental determination of $p = P_c(u)$ is based on the assumption that this is an instantaneous process. In reality it requires substantial time to approach an equilibrium before measurements can be taken.

Hassanizadeh-Gray (1993) model

$$P_{c,dyn}(u) \equiv P_c(u) + \tau_H \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}:$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot K(x) \frac{k_w(u)}{\mu_w} \nabla (P_c(u) + \rho G d(x)) \\ - \nabla \cdot K(x) \frac{k_w(u)}{\mu_w} \nabla \tau_H \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

pseudoparabolic equation

Linearize ... the *pseudoparabolic equation*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi(x)u(t,x)) - \nabla \cdot \kappa(x)\nabla(u(t,x)) + \tau(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi(x)u(t,x) = 0$$

is distinguished from the usual parabolic equation by $\tau(x) > 0$. Porous media applications require that we know how to **homogenize** such equations.

Bensoussan, Lions, and Papanicolaou briefly investigated the **homogenization** of pseudoparabolic equations as an example for which the limiting problem is of a different type, and perhaps *non-local*, not even a PDE. We shall see below that this occurs when certain variables are eliminated or *hidden*.

pseudoparabolic system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi(x)u(t,x)) + \frac{1}{\tau(x)}(u(t,x) - v(t,x)) &= 0, \\ -\nabla \cdot (\kappa(x)\nabla v(t,x)) + \frac{1}{\tau(x)}(v(t,x) - u(t,x)) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ v(t,s) &= 0, \quad s \in \partial\Omega, \\ \phi(x)u(0,x) &= \phi(x)u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Asymptotic Expansion

Let Y denote the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^N . Let the Y -periodic functions $\phi(y)$, $\tau(y)$, $\kappa(y)$ be given and define

$$\phi^\varepsilon(x) = \phi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \tau^\varepsilon(x) = \tau\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \kappa^\varepsilon(x) = \kappa\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

The corresponding solution u^ε , v^ε depends on ε .

We write these as formal asymptotic expansions

$$u^\varepsilon(t, x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^p u_p(t, x, y), \quad v^\varepsilon(t, x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^p v_p(t, x, y),$$

$$y = \frac{x}{\varepsilon},$$

with each $u_p(t, x, \cdot)$, $v_p(t, x, \cdot)$ being Y -periodic.

Cell problem

The **effective tensor** κ^* is obtained in this calculation as
 $\kappa_{ij}^* = \int_Y \kappa(y) (\nabla_y \omega_i(y) + \mathbf{e}_i) \cdot (\nabla_y \omega_j(y) + \mathbf{e}_j) dy$, where

Periodic Cell Problem: ω_j is Y -periodic and

$$-\nabla_y \cdot \kappa(y) (\nabla_y \omega_j(y) + \mathbf{e}_j) = 0, \quad j = 1 \dots N.$$

partially-upscaled system

The leading terms in the expansion satisfy the **pseudoparabolic system**

$$\phi(y) \frac{\partial u_0(t, x, y)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\tau(y)}(u_0(t, x, y) - v_0(t, x)) = 0,$$

$$-\nabla \cdot \kappa^* \nabla v_0(t, x) + \int_Y \frac{1}{\tau(y)}(v_0(t, x) - u_0(t, x, y)) dy = 0,$$

together with boundary and initial conditions,

$$v_0(t, s) = 0, \quad s \in \partial\Omega, \quad u_0(0, x, y) = u_0(x).$$

Upscaled pseudoparabolic equation

Only if the product $\phi(\cdot) \tau(\cdot)$ is constant do we get $u_0(t, x, y) = u_0(t, x)$ independent of $y \in Y$, and in that case we can eliminate v_0 from the system:

$$\phi^* \frac{\partial u_0(t, x)}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot \kappa^* \nabla u_0(t, x) - \nabla \cdot \kappa^* \nabla \phi^* \tau^* \frac{\partial u_0(t, x)}{\partial t} = 0.$$

NOTE: $\phi^* = \int_Y \phi(y) dy$ is the **average**

$\tau^* = \left(\int_Y \frac{1}{\tau(y)} dy \right)^{-1}$ is the **harmonic average**

Classical Bimodal Medium

Unit cube Y is given in open disjoint complementary parts, Y_1 and Y_2 ,

$\chi_j(y)$ = Y -periodic characteristic function of Y_j .

Corresponding ε -periodic characteristic functions are

$$\chi_j^\varepsilon(x) \equiv \chi_j\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and these partition the global domain Ω into two sub-domains, Ω_1^ε and Ω_2^ε by

$$\Omega_j^\varepsilon \equiv \{x \in \Omega : \chi_j^\varepsilon(x) = 1\}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Coefficients

Given $\phi_j(\cdot, \cdot)$, $\kappa_j(\cdot, \cdot)$, $\tau_j(\cdot, \cdot) \in L^\infty(\Omega; C(\overline{Y_j}))$, define
 Y -periodic functions in $L^\infty(\Omega; L^2_\#(Y))$ by

$$\phi(x, y) \equiv \phi_j(x, y), y \in Y_j, j = 1, 2, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

similarly $\kappa(x, y)$ and $\tau(x, y)$. Corresponding functions on Ω_j^ε are

$$\phi_j^\varepsilon(x) \equiv \phi_j\left(x, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \kappa_j^\varepsilon(x) \equiv \kappa_j\left(x, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \tau_j^\varepsilon(x) \equiv \tau_j\left(x, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

and coefficients for the pseudoparabolic system are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^\varepsilon(x) &\equiv \chi_1^\varepsilon(x)\phi_1^\varepsilon(x) + \chi_2^\varepsilon(x)\phi_2^\varepsilon(x), \\ \kappa^\varepsilon(x) &\equiv \chi_1^\varepsilon(x)\kappa_1^\varepsilon(x) + \chi_2^\varepsilon(x)\kappa_2^\varepsilon(x), \\ \tau^\varepsilon(x) &\equiv \chi_1^\varepsilon(x)\tau_1^\varepsilon(x) + \chi_2^\varepsilon(x)\tau_2^\varepsilon(x). \end{aligned}$$

The ε - problem

$u^\varepsilon(\cdot) \in H^1((0, T); L^2(\Omega))$ and $v^\varepsilon(\cdot) \in L^2((0, T); H_0^1(\Omega))$

$$\phi^\varepsilon(x) \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\tau^\varepsilon(x)} (u^\varepsilon(t, x) - v^\varepsilon(t, x)) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$-\nabla \cdot (\kappa_1^\varepsilon(x) \nabla v^\varepsilon(t, x)) + \frac{1}{\tau_1^\varepsilon(x)} (v^\varepsilon(t, x) - u^\varepsilon(t, x)) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_1^\varepsilon,$$

$$-\nabla \cdot (\kappa_2^\varepsilon(x) \nabla v^\varepsilon(t, x)) + \frac{1}{\tau_2^\varepsilon(x)} (v^\varepsilon(t, x) - u^\varepsilon(t, x)) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_2^\varepsilon,$$

$$\gamma_1^\varepsilon v^\varepsilon(t, s) = \gamma_2^\varepsilon v^\varepsilon(t, s),$$

$$\kappa_1^\varepsilon(s) \nabla v^\varepsilon(t, s) \cdot \nu = \kappa_2^\varepsilon(s) \nabla v^\varepsilon(t, s) \cdot \nu, \quad s \in \Gamma^\varepsilon,$$

boundary condition $v^\varepsilon(t, s) = 0$, $s \in \partial\Omega$, and the
initial condition $u^\varepsilon(0, x) = u_0(x)$, $x \in \Omega$, independent of ε .

two-scale limit

LEMMA 1: For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let $u^\varepsilon(\cdot)$, $v^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ denote the unique solution to the pseudoparabolic ε -problem. There exist

- (i) a function U in $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega; L^2_\#(Y))$,
- (ii) a function v in $L^2((0, T); H_0^1(\Omega))$,
- (ii) a function V in $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega; H_\#^1(Y)/\mathbb{R})$,

and a subsequence which **two-scale converges**

$$u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} U(t, x, y),$$

$$v^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} v(t, x),$$

$$\nabla v^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \nabla v(t, x) + \nabla_y V(t, x, y).$$

The effective tensor κ^* is given by

$$\kappa_{ij}^*(x) = \int_Y \kappa(x, y)(\nabla_y \omega_i(x, y) + \mathbf{e}_i) \cdot (\nabla_y \omega_j(x, y) + \mathbf{e}_j) dy.$$

where each ω_k is the solution of the **periodic cell problem**

$$\omega_k \in L^2(\Omega; H_\#^1(Y)) :$$

$$\int_Y \kappa(x, y)(\nabla_y \omega_k(x, y) + \mathbf{e}_k) \cdot \nabla_y \Psi(x, y) dy = 0$$

$$\text{for all } \Psi \in L^2(\Omega; H_\#^1(Y)).$$

(Let's ask that $\int_Y \omega_k(x, y) dy = 0$ to fix the constant.)

THEOREM 1: The limits U, v in Lemma 1 are the solution of the **partially homogenized** pseudoparabolic system

$$\phi(x, y) \frac{\partial U(t, x, y)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\tau(x, y)} (U(t, x, y) - v(t, x)) = 0,$$
$$\int_Y \frac{1}{\tau(x, y)} (v(t, x) - U(t, x, y)) dy - \nabla \cdot \kappa^* \nabla v(t, x) = 0,$$

with boundary conditions $v(t, s) = 0, s \in \partial\Omega$,
initial condition $U(0, x, y) = u_0(x)$.

upscaled bimodal case

If each of $\phi_j, \tau_j \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ is independent of $y \in Y_j$, then

$$U(t, x, y) \equiv \begin{cases} U_1(t, x), & y \in Y_1, \\ U_2(t, x), & y \in Y_2, \end{cases}$$

and we have the **homogenized** bimodal system

$$|Y_1|\phi_1(x) \frac{\partial U_1(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{|Y_1|}{\tau_1(x)}(U_1(t, x) - v(t, x)) = 0,$$

$$|Y_2|\phi_2(x) \frac{\partial U_2(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{|Y_2|}{\tau_2(x)}(U_2(t, x) - v(t, x)) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|Y_1|}{\tau_1(x)}(v(t, x) - U_1(t, x)) + \frac{|Y_2|}{\tau_2(x)}(v(t, x) - U_2(t, x)) \\ - \nabla \cdot \kappa^* \nabla v(t, x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Highly-Heterogeneous Case

The permeability is scaled by ε^2 in the second region Ω_2^ε , so the flux is given by $-\varepsilon^2 \kappa_2\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v^\varepsilon$ in Ω_2^ε :

$$\kappa^\varepsilon(x) \equiv \chi_1^\varepsilon(x) \kappa_1^\varepsilon(x) + \varepsilon^2 \chi_2^\varepsilon(x) \kappa_2^\varepsilon(x).$$

The ε -problem

$$\phi^\varepsilon(x) \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\tau^\varepsilon(x)} (u^\varepsilon(t, x) - v^\varepsilon(t, x)) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$-\nabla \cdot (\kappa_1^\varepsilon(x) \nabla v^\varepsilon(t, x)) + \frac{1}{\tau_1^\varepsilon(x)} (v^\varepsilon(t, x) - u^\varepsilon(t, x)) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_1^\varepsilon,$$

$$-\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon^2 \kappa_2^\varepsilon(x) \nabla v^\varepsilon(t, x)) + \frac{1}{\tau_2^\varepsilon(x)} (v^\varepsilon(t, x) - u^\varepsilon(t, x)) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_2^\varepsilon,$$

$$\gamma_1^\varepsilon v^\varepsilon(t, s) = \gamma_2^\varepsilon v^\varepsilon(t, s),$$

$$\kappa_1^\varepsilon(s) \nabla v^\varepsilon(t, s) \cdot \nu = \varepsilon^2 \kappa_2^\varepsilon(s) \nabla v^\varepsilon(t, s) \cdot \nu, \quad s \in \Gamma^\varepsilon.$$

boundary condition $v^\varepsilon(t, s) = 0$, $s \in \partial\Omega$, and the initial condition $u^\varepsilon(0, x) = u_0(x)$, $x \in \Omega$, independent of ε .

The two-scale limit

LEMMA 2: There exist $U \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega; L^2_{\#}(Y))$,
 $v_1 \in L^2((0, T); H_0^1(\Omega))$,
 $V_j \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega; H_{\#}^1(Y_j)/\mathbb{R})$, $j = 1, 2$,
and a **two-scale convergent** subsequence

$$u^\varepsilon(t, x) \xrightarrow{2} U(t, x, y),$$

$$\chi_1^\varepsilon v^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \chi_1(y) v_1(t, x),$$

$$\chi_1^\varepsilon \nabla v^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \chi_1(y) [\nabla v_1(t, x) + \nabla_y V_1(t, x, y)],$$

$$\chi_2^\varepsilon v^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \chi_2(y) V_2(t, x, y),$$

$$\varepsilon \chi_2^\varepsilon \nabla v^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \chi_2(y) \nabla_y V_2(t, x, y).$$

The Cell Problem

Define $\omega_k(x, y)$ by

$$\omega_k \in L^2(\Omega; H_{\#}^1(Y_1)) : \quad \int_{Y_1} \omega_k(x, y) dy = 0,$$

$$\int_{Y_1} \kappa_1(x, y) (\nabla_y \omega_k(x, y) + \mathbf{e}_k) \cdot \nabla_y \Psi_1(x, y) dy = 0$$

for all $\Psi_1 \in L^2(\Omega; H_{\#}^1(Y_1))$.

The **effective tensor** κ^* is given by

$$\kappa_{ij}^*(x) = \int_{Y_1} \kappa_1(x, y) (\nabla_y \omega_i(x, y) + \mathbf{e}_i) \cdot (\nabla_y \omega_j(x, y) + \mathbf{e}_j) dy.$$

THEOREM 2: The limits U , v_1 , V_2 satisfy the **partially homogenized** pseudoparabolic system

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(x, y) \frac{\partial U(t, x, y)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\tau_1(x, y)} (U(t, x, y) - v_1(t, x)) &= 0, \quad y \in Y_1, \\ \int_{Y_1} \frac{1}{\tau_1(x, y)} (v_1(t, x) - U(t, x, y)) dy - \nabla \cdot \kappa^* \nabla v_1(t, x) \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \kappa_2(x, y) \nabla_y V_2(t, x, y) \cdot \nu dS = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and for each $x \in \Omega$ and $y \in Y_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2(x, y) \frac{\partial U(t, x, y)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\tau_2(x, y)} (U(t, x, y) - V_2(t, x, y)) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\tau_2(x, y)} (V_2(t, x, y) - U(t, x, y)) - \nabla_y \cdot \kappa_2(x, y) \nabla_y V_2(t, x, y) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

with $\gamma V_2(t, x, y) = v_1(t, x)$, $y \in \Gamma$.

The Macro-Micro model

If $\phi_1(x)$, $\tau_1(x)$, then $u(t, x) \equiv U(t, x, y)$, $y \in Y_1$,

$$\phi_1(x) \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\tau_1(x)} (u(t, x) - v_1(t, x)) = 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{\tau_1(x)} (v_1(t, x) - u(t, x)) - \frac{1}{|Y_1|} \nabla \cdot \kappa^* \nabla v_1(t, x)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{|Y_1|} \int_{\Gamma} \kappa_2(x, y) \nabla_y V_2(t, x, y) \cdot \nu dS = 0,$$

$$\phi_2(x, y) \frac{\partial U(t, x, y)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\tau_2(x, y)} (U(t, x, y) - V_2(t, x, y)) = 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{\tau_2(x, y)} (V_2(t, x, y) - U(t, x, y)) - \nabla_y \cdot \kappa_2(x, y) \nabla_y V_2(t, x, y) = 0,$$

for $y \in Y_2$, with $\gamma V_2(t, x, y) = v_1(t, x)$, $y \in \Gamma$.